

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. D. M. Ladd

FROM : Mr. A. H. Belmont

SUBJECT: HERMAN M. GREENSPUN
INFORMATION CONCERNING

DATE: July 3, 1952

Tolson _____

Ladd _____

Clegg _____

Glavin _____

Hiro _____

Rosen _____

Tracy _____

Harbo _____

Belmont _____

Mohr _____

Tele. Room _____

Nease _____

Gandy _____

PURPOSE:

To call attention to the request of the Bureau of Internal Revenue for information in our files concerning Herman M. Greenspun in connection with an income tax investigation of Greenspun and to recommend that copies of reports be furnished.

DETAILS:

Herman Greenspun was one of the subjects in the _____ Neutrality Act case which involved the illegal shipment of arms to Israel via Mexico in 1948. He was convicted for violation of the Neutrality Act on July 17, 1950, at Los Angeles, in connection with this transaction and was fined \$10,000.00. He was also one of the subjects in the Foundry Associates, Inc., Neutrality Act case which arose out of the efforts of a group of individuals to procure airplane parts and accessories for shipment to the Israeli fighting forces in Palestine. However, Greenspun was acquitted of conspiracy to violate the Neutrality Act in this case at Los Angeles on February 6, 1950.

Greenspun is now owner of the Las Vegas, Nevada, "Sun" newspaper and has recently filed a million-dollar damage suit against Senator Pat McCarran, alleging that the Senator put pressure on various gambling houses in Las Vegas to withdraw their advertising from his paper.

The Bureau of Internal Revenue advises that in connection with extensive income tax investigations of racketeers, it desires information we may have relating to funds received by Greenspun as a result of his alleged traffic in planes and arms, as well as any information relating to his financial condition or income from other sources.

Attachment

RWC:mmr

RECORDED-136

162-97007-41

13 AUG 1 1952

Mem to Belmont
7-29-52

64 AUG 11 1952

COPIES
[Handwritten signatures]

RWC

b6
b7C

RECOMMENDATION:

Since the information available to the Bureau may be helpful to the Bureau of Internal Revenue in its income tax investigation of Greenspun, it is recommended that copies of pertinent investigative reports be furnished.

ACTION:

part If you agree, there are attached copies of pertinent reports.

OK
K.

OK ✓

SAC, Salt Lake City

July 22, 1952

Director, FBI

AIR MAIL SPECIAL DELIVERY

NO. SUBJECTS;
 M. GREENSPUN, doing business
 under the firm name and style of
 LAS VEGAS 300 - PLAINTIFF, vs
 PATRICK A. McKEAMAN, ET AL - DEFENDANTS;
 CIVIL ACTION NO. 1002
 U. S. DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 PERJURY

MAY 4 - 1
 MAY 6 - 1
 MAY 7 - 1

Forwarded herewith is a photostat of the transcript
 of testimony taken during the hearing on Motion for Preliminary
 Injunction held between May 16 and May 23, 1952, inclusive, and
 a photostat of the transcript of arguments heard on May 22 and
 May 25, 1952, in the civil action identified in the above caption,
 together with photostats of several pertinent newspaper articles
 which appeared in various issues of the Las Vegas Sun and the
 Las Vegas Review-Journal.

It is requested that you compare these transcripts with
 the official court record in this case to determine whether they
 are complete and constitute the entire court record. If any
 portions of this record are lacking, you are instructed to take
 immediate steps to obtain copies of the missing portions and
 forward them to the Bureau AMSD, attention Assistant Director A.
 Rosen. If you determine that the enclosed material constitutes
 a complete copy of the official court record, entel so advising
 the Bureau.

Chicago and Salt Lake City bureaus immediately reflecting
 results of investigation already reported by teletype and tele-
 phone. Salt Lake City designated office of origin.

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Nease _____
- Gandy _____

Enclosure

497303

RECORDED - 79

JUL 24 1952

62-97007-42

att. 2-Chicago (AMSD)

INDEXED - 3A

EEK: rhd

MAILED 3
 JUL 24 1952
 COMM - FBI

65 AUG 6 1952

[Handwritten signatures and initials: "D", "R", "L.R.P.", "A"]

[Handwritten notes: "See file", "P. 100"]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

0-9a

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

JULY 30, 1952

URGENT

Transmit the following message to:

SAC, SALT LAKE CITY

copy
UNSUBS, H. M. GREENSPUN, ETC, PERJURY. SUTEL DATE IT IS
EXPECTED. COMPARISON OF TRANSCRIPTS FORWARDED WITH BULET
OF JULY TWENTYTWO LAST WITH OFFICIAL COURT RECORD WILL BE
COMPLETED AND COPIES OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS REQUIRED TO
COMPLETE RECORD FORWARDED TO BUREAU.

HOOVER.

EFK:sal *sal*

62-97007

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

COPIES DESTROYED

20 DEC 2 1964

RECORDED - 35

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

EX-164

JUL 30 1952

TELETYPE

RECEIVED
F B I
JUL 30 11 28 AM '52

JUL 31 1952

62-97007-43

APB

W

SENT VIA 68 AUG 8 1952

12-55 *PM*

Per *NRB*

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd

DATE: July 30, 1952

FROM : A. Rosen

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENSPUN, doing business
under the firm name and style of
LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF vs.
PATRICK A. MC CARRAN, et al - DEFENDANTS;
CIVIL ACTION #1002
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
PERJURY

Tolson	
Ladd	
Nichols	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Belmont	
Clegg	
Glavin	
Harbo	
Rosen	
Tracy	
Mohr	
Tele. Rm.	
Nease	
Gandy	

Ask my

*100 4-1
100 6-1*

Mr. Nichols's memorandum dated July 28, 1952, reflects that he spoke with Senator McCarran on July 26, 1952, and advised the Senator that Mr. Blakey of the Senator's law firm stated he did not have affidavits from all persons involved in this matter as had been alleged by the Senator and that the four affidavits Mr. Blakey had he would not give to us as they had been secured from another law firm. Senator McCarran told Mr. Nichols that if Blakey did not have these affidavits then no doubt some other members of the Senator's law firm did have them.

In our letter to the Attorney General dated July 22, 1952, he was advised that Richard W. Blakey of the firm of McCarran, Rice, Wedge and Blakey, Reno, Nevada, advised that the four affidavits mentioned above were secured by him from attorney William Woodburn, Jr., who represented the affiants in this civil action. The Attorney General was further advised that in view of the fact that this matter is still pending in Federal court, it was not known whether he desired that we take any action to secure copies of these affidavits from Woodburn and his advice in this regard was requested. No further advice from the Attorney General has been received.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

In view of our notification to the Attorney General, it would not now be logical to make any further effort to secure copies of affidavits given in this case which Senator McCarran says are in the possession of some member of his law firm. Therefore, it is recommended that no further action in this regard be taken.

EFK: jlt

RECORDED - 11
EX. - 80

G. I. R. B
62-97007-44

13 AUG 6 1952

62 AUG 13 1952

(Handwritten initials and marks)

gmc



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION



AUG 4 1952

TELETYPE

M. Rosen

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	<i>FR</i>
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	FLH
Miss Gandy	_____

W. Kieffer

B

WASHINGTON S 15 FROM SFRAN 8-1-2-52 10-07 PM
DIRECTOR, FBI DEFERRED

UNSUBS, H.M. GREENSPUN, DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE OF LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF, VS. PATRICK A. MC CARRAN, ETAL - DEFENDANTS, CIVIL ACTION NO. ONE NAUGHT NAUGHT TWO, USDC, DISTRICT OF NEVADA, PERJURY. REBUTEL JULY THIRTY ONE. CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, SF, ADVISES RECORD THIS CASE NOT YET RECEIVED FROM USDC, NEVADA, BUT WILL ADVISE THIS OFFICE IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT THEREOF. THE BUREAU WILL THEN BE ADVISED AS TO WHEN PRINTED COPIES WILL BE AVAILABLE.

374

RECORDED - 63

162-97007-45

AUG 5 1952

EX - 103

6 rel

13

ABBATICCHIO

cc Mr. Rosen
W. Kieffer


65 AUG 11 1952
HOLD PLS

cu

AUGUST 5, 1952

SAC, SALT LAKE CITY URGENT

UNSUBS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, ETC., PERJURY. REREP SA



JULY TWENTY SECOND LAST, SALT LAKE CITY.

b6
b7C

DETAILS OF REREP DO NOT REFLECT INTERVIEW WITH FORMER USA
WILLIAM J. KANE. SUBMIT CORRECTED PAGES IMMEDIATELY.

EFK:HCW
62-97007 *WV*

HOOVER

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Id. _____

RECORDED - 159 62-97007-46

RECEIVED BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

EX-130

AUG 5 1952

TELETYPE COPIES DESTROYED
20 DEC 2 1964

WV

235

20/11

WV

WV

WV

3 AUG 12 1952

ca

JULY 31, 1952

URGENT

RECORDED - 48
EX - 103

62-97007-47

SAC'S, SAN FRANCISCO
SALT LAKE CITY

SUBJ: E. H. GREENSPAN, DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND
STYLE OF LAS VEGAS SUN DASH PLAINTEFF, VS. PATRICK A. MCCARRAN,
ET AL DASH DEFENDANTS; CIVIL ACTION NO. ONE ZERO ZERO TWO,
U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF NEVADA. PERJURY. AG HAS
REQUESTED THAT A COPY OF THE RECORD IN THIS CASE BE OBTAINED
AND FORWARDED TO HIM AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. SALT LAKE CITY
DETERMINED FROM U.S. CLERK OF COURT, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, THAT
ENTIRE RECORD IN THIS CASE IS BEING FORWARDED IMMEDIATELY TO
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND WILL BE PRINTED WITHIN TWO WEEKS
AFTER ARRIVAL THERE. SAN FRANCISCO IMMEDIATELY MAKE ARRANGEMENTS
TO SECURE TWO COPIES OF ENTIRE PRINTED RECORD AS SOON AS
AVAILABLE AND FORWARD SAME AMSD TO BUREAU ATTENTION ASSISTANT
DIRECTOR A. ROSEN. ADVISE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE WHEN THIS
RECORD WILL BE AVAILABLE. SALT LAKE CITY ORIGIN.

HOOVER

62-97007

BPK:AFB

aml

[Handwritten initials]

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

JUL 31 6 42 PM '52

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED

INVESTIGATION

DIRECTOR

RECEIVED TELETYPE ROOM

JUL 31 6 02 PM '52

FBI

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

INITIALED IN
DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

68 AUG 20 1952

8-05P

C

8

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUL 31 1952

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. E. A. Tamm	
Mr. Clegg	
Mr. Glavin	
Mr. Ladd	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tracy	
Mr. Harbo	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Winterrowd	
Tele. Room	
Mr. Holloman	
Miss Gandy	

WASH 25 FROM SALT LAKE CITY VIA LOSA

30

3-53 PM

DIRECTOR URGENT

UNSUBS, H. M. GREENSPUN, ETC, PERJURY. RETEL. COMPARISON

TRANSCRIPTS FORWARDED BULET JULY TWENTYTWO LAST WITH COURT RECORD COMPLETED TODAY AND REFLECTS THIS REPRESENTS COMPLETE COURT RECORD WITH EXCEPTION THIRTYTWO MISCELLANEOUS PLEADINGS CONSISTING APPROXIMATELY TWO HUNDRED FIFTY PAGES. US CLERK OF COURT, CARSON CITY, NEV. HAD COURT RECORD READY TO TRANSMIT TO CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, SAN FRANCISCO AT TIME COMPARISON STARTED AND WITHHELD ACTION PENDING COMPLETION COMPARISON, THEREFORE, DOUBTFUL CAN DELAY TRANSMITTAL ANY LONGER. CLERK HAS NO COPIES THESE PLEADINGS. ONLY POSSIBLE MEANS OBTAINING COPIES THESE PLEADINGS AT CARSON CITY IS PHOTOSTATING AT ESTIMATED COST TWO HUNDRED DOLLARS. CLERK STATES ENTIRE RECORD WILL BE PRINTED WITHIN TWO WEEKS AFTER ARRIVAL SAN FRANCISCO AND COMPLETE RECORD READILY AVAILABLE IN PRINTED FORM THEN. ACCORDINGLY, RECOMMEND ACTION OBTAIN COPIES THESE PLEADINGS BE DEFERRED AND SF INSTRUCTED OBTAIN PRINTED RECORD.

RECORDED - 48

62-97001-47

BROWN

HOLD PLS

AUG 6 1952

7/31/52
Memo to
Trotter
KJ
SPL + SLG
S

620

cc Mr. Rosen
Mr. McGrath

Assistant Attorney General
James M. McInerney

July 30, 1952

Director, FBI

HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN
BRIBERY

RECORDED-110
EX-25
6-7407-48
0

Information has recently been received by the Bureau from Mr. Don Connors, a representative of the McCarran Committee, to the effect that one Seth S. Pope of the General Services Administration, Washington, D. C., advised Mr. Connors that approximately one year ago, Herman Greenspun contacted him by referral of Jack Dempsey. According to Mr. Connors, Mr. Pope told him that Greenspun related to Mr. Pope that he, Greenspun, had been indicted for a violation of the Neutrality Act and that he was willing to pay \$25,000 to Mr. Pope or anyone designated by him to have the indictment quashed or to put in a fix. Mr. Pope reportedly told Mr. Connors that he declined to be of any assistance to Mr. Greenspun. Mr. Pope is reported as having first told Mr. Connors that Greenspun's offer took place in the office and in the presence of [redacted] a Washington attorney. Mr. Pope later advised Mr. Connors that on further recollection Mr. Greenspun's offer took place after he and Greenspun left [redacted] office. Mr. Connors reported that Attorney [redacted] admitted talking with Mr. Greenspun about his case at which time he advised Greenspun that he could not be of assistance to him and suggested to him that he obtain the services of a West Coast lawyer. [redacted] according to Mr. Connors, stated that Greenspun did not make any comment to him concerning a \$25,000 payment for the purpose of quashing the indictment.

You will no doubt recall that Herman Milton Greenspun was the subject of two Neutrality Act investigations of the Bureau captioned "Foundry Associates, Inc., et al, Neutrality Act, Conspiracy" and [redacted] with aliases, et al, Neutrality Act, Conspiracy." You will note that in the [redacted] case, involving the attempted shipment in March, 1948, of airplane engines and machine gun parts from Wilmington, California to Mexico, where they were to be transhipped to Israel, Greenspun and six other individuals associated with this shipment were indicted on September 28, 1949, at Los Angeles, California. Greenspun, subsequently on July 10, 1950, entered a plea of guilty to a charge of conspiracy to violate the Neutrality Act and on July 17, 1950, he was sentenced to pay a fine of \$10,000. The charges against the other defendants in the [redacted] matter were subsequently dropped.

- Tolson
- Ladd
- Nichols
- Belmont
- Clegg
- Glavin
- Harbo
- Rosen
- Tracy
- Laughlin
- Mohr
- Tele. Rm.
- Holloman

JCS:HJK

JUL 31 1952
COMM-FBI

66 AUG 14 1952

Handwritten signatures and initials: JH, JH, JH, JH, JH

b6
b7c

Assistant Attorney General
James M. McInerney

In the Foundry Associates case, you will recall that Greenspun and six others were indicted at Los Angeles on April 6, 1949, on charges of conspiracy to violate the Neutrality and Export Control Laws. Greenspun's activity in the Foundry Associates case concerns his attempt to transport 42 airplane engines from California to Panama in March, 1948. On February 6, 1950, Greenspun and two of the defendants in the Foundry Associates matter were acquitted while three of the defendants were found guilty. A review of the Bureau files in the two above cases fails to reflect receipt of any information indicative of a bribe offer by or on behalf of Herman Milton Greenspun.

The Bureau has instructed that Mr. Seth S. Pope and [redacted] be interviewed, in an effort to develop the full facts concerning Greenspun's offer. You will be promptly informed as to the results of the interview of Messrs. Pope [redacted]

b6
b7c

cc: 1 - Washington Field

NOTE: SAC, WFO. Interview Pope and [redacted] immediately and surep by August 8. In the interview of Pope, it is desired that the Jack Dempsey who referred Greenspun to him be further identified.

b6
b7c

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson ✓

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT: HERMAN GREENSPUN
BRIBERY

DATE: July 17, 1952

147

Tolson ✓
Ladd ✓
Nichols ✓
Belmont ✓
Clegg ✓
Glavin ✓
Harbo ✓
Rosen ✓
Tracy ✓
Mohr ✓
Tele. Rm. ✓
Nease ✓
Gandy ✓

Don Connors of the McCarran Committee called. He advised that some time ago the Committee received a letter from Alex Young, an 87-year-old man who lives in New York at 320 Broadway, stating that Seth S. Pope, of the General Services Administration, could furnish information on Greenspun. Pope resides at 403 Belleview, Alexandria, Virginia, telephone TEmple 9199.

b6
b7c

[redacted] has interviewed Pope, who is an assistant to Jess Larson at General Services. He states that about a year ago Greenspun was sent to Pope by Jack Dempsey. Greenspun had seen Jack Dempsey on the West Coast and told Dempsey he was in trouble in Washington and inquired how he could get out. Dempsey suggested he see Pope, an old friend of his, and [redacted] attorney, now with the firm of Hogan and Hartson. Sireca was formerly an Assistant U. S. Attorney.

Pope stated that Greenspun told him he had been indicted for violation of the Neutrality Act; that he would pay \$25,000 to have the indictment quashed and to put the fix in; that he would pay the \$25,000 to Pope or to anyone Pope said. Pope declined. At first, Pope stated that this conversation took place in Sireca's office in the presence of Sireca. Later he changed his story to say that on further recollection the conversation took place after Greenspun and he had left Sireca's office.

b6
b7c

Pope left Washington today for North Carolina to spend the week end.

Sireca advised [redacted] that Jack Dempsey has referred several individuals to him; that when he saw Greenspun he told him he did not need a Washington lawyer, he needed a West Coast lawyer. Sireca remembers Pope's being in the office with Greenspun but denies any conversation about a payment of \$25,000 or any discussion about a fix.

RECORDED-110
INDEXED-110

162-97007-48

Connors stated that when they first went to Pope they did not know what information he might have and that were this any other case and not one involving Greenspun, who is suing Senator McCarran, he would never have interviewed Sireca, as this was something that should be turned over to us. He stated he had talked

cc: Mr. Ladd
cc: Mr. Belmont
LBN:hmc

7/18 5 33 PM [initials]

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson

July 17, 1952

to the Senator and the Senator had told him to call me immediately, and see if there was anything we could do with this.

I told Connors certainly on the basis of these facts I did not see where the bribery statutes were involved, as there was no payment; that we would see what could be done. We do know in this case that [redacted] protege, tried to put a fix on in the Department and saw [redacted] that of several individuals who were indicted, all indictments were dismissed except Greenspun's, who was fined \$10,000 and the fine paid by someone else.

b6
b7c

The thought occurs, in the light of information which we have, that we might very well initiate investigation to see if there was any bribery involved in the disposition of this case.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd

DATE: July 30, 1952

FROM : Mr. Rosen

SUBJECT: HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN
BRIBERY

A review of the Bufiles concerning Greenspun fails to reflect the receipt of any prior information indicative of a bribe offer by or in behalf of Greenspun.

BACKGROUND

Assistant Director L. B. Nichols in a memorandum dated July 17, 1952, to Associate Director Clyde Tolson, set forth information to the effect that Don Connors of the McCarran Committee supplied information that one Seth S. Pope of the General Services Administration had informed Mr. Connors about a year ago that Herman Greenspun had contacted him by referral from Jack Dempsey. Pope related that Greenspun told him he had been indicted for a violation of the Neutrality Act and that he would pay \$25,000 to Pope or anyone designated by Pope to quash the indictment or to put in a fix. Pope said he declined Mr. Greenspun's offer. A review of the Bureau files indicates that Greenspun was the subject of two Neutrality Act cases as follows:

b6
b7c

CASE NUMBER ONE:

[redacted] was, NEUTRALITY ACT,
CONSPIRACY

This case involves the activity of Greenspun in March, 1948, at which time he obtained a quantity of machine gun parts and airplane engines from a Hawaiian salvage company which he subsequently shipped from Wilmington, California, to Mexico, from which point they were transhipped to Israel. Greenspun and six other individuals associated in the venture were indicted by a Grand Jury at Los Angeles on September 28, 1949, on substantive and conspiracy charges violative of the Neutrality Act. On July 10, 1950, Greenspun entered a plea of guilty to the conspiracy count and on July 17, 1950, he was fined \$10,000 which was paid on July 25, 1950. The substantive charge against Greenspun and all charges against the six other defendants were subsequently dropped.

CASE NUMBER TWO:

FOUNDRY ASSOCIATES, INC., et al., Neutrality Act,
Conspiracy

RECORDED-110

AUG 4 1952

This case concerns the efforts of the subjects to obtain airplanes and airplane parts to ship to the Israel fighting

65 AUG 22 1952

Attachment
cc: Mr. Nichols

JBS

JBS LAR

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

forces in Palestine. The case was divided into four phases. Greenspun's activities were prominent in a phase concerning the attempted export of 42 airplane engines from Los Angeles, California, to Panama. Greenspun obtained the engines from an Oahu, T. H. salvage concern [redacted] and shipped them in March 1948, to Los Angeles. The shipment was discovered and was seized by the U. S. Customs Service at Los Angeles on April 14, 1948, at which time subjects were attempting to ship the engines to Panama. Greenspun and six others were indicted on charges of conspiracy to violate the Neutrality and Export Control Laws on April 6, 1949. On February 6, 1950, at the USDC, Los Angeles, Greenspun and two of the defendants were acquitted after a jury trial and three of the subjects were subsequently convicted.

A review of the above files fails to reflect receipt of information indicative of a bribery offer by Greenspun. No information was found to indicate that anyone had contacted the Department of Justice or other Government officials in behalf of Greenspun in these cases.

It should be noted that the information in Mr. Nichols' memorandum of July 17, 1952, pertaining to the efforts of [redacted] to exercise influence on the Department in the dropping of charges, does not relate to the above cases in which Greenspun was involved. The activities of [redacted] which were obtained by a highly confidential source, concerned a case involving several of Greenspun's associates in the Foundry Associates, Inc., who were indicted in Miami, Florida, in November of 1948, in connection with a plot to illegally export three airplanes. Greenspun was not involved in that case, which was investigated by the Customs Service, rather than the Bureau. It appears that Wahl and his associates attempted to exercise influence on officials of the Department, as well as other high Government officials to induce the Department to drop the charges or to agree to a change of venue. b6 b7c

It should be noted that while Mr. Pope reported to have told Mr. Connors that Greenspun contacted him about one year ago, the two Neutrality Act cases in which Greenspun was involved were disposed of on February 6, 1950, by acquittal and on July 17, 1950, by a conviction and a fine. It should be noted also that at the present time, Herman Greenspun and Senator Pat McCarran are involved in litigation in Las Vegas, Nevada, in which matter Greenspun is suing the Senator for \$1,000,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Seth Pope and John Sireca be interviewed to develop the full facts concerning Greenspun's alleged offer, and to further identify the Jack Dempsey who referred Greenspun to Mr. Pope. Attached for your approval is a memorandum to Assistant Attorney General James M. McInerney with a carbon copy to Washington Field.

James M. McInerney
7/30

John Sireca

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. D. M. LADD

DATE: July 31, 1952

FROM : MR. A. H. BELMONT

SUBJECT: SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTERNAL SECURITY
(McCarran Committee)

HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Nease _____
- Gandy _____

GIR-6

Attached is a memorandum to the Attorney General furnishing him with information made available by the Bureau to the McCarran Committee. This is done pursuant to a request of former Attorney General McGrath.

It is noted that no mention is made in the attachment of information furnished to the Committee on Herman Milton Greenspun, concerning whom a memorandum was furnished personally to Senator McCarran on March 28, 1952, by Mr. Nichols pursuant to a request from the Senator. The giving of this information on Greenspun to Senator McCarran was with the authorization of Deputy Attorney General Vanech, and a summary of the information furnished to the Senator has been furnished to Mr. Vanech.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 4/16/84 BY SP/mactyp
#240,081

Attachment

LLL:mer
mer

CC: Mr. Nichols

EX - 28

RECORDED - 11 | 62-97007-50
17 AUG 8 1952

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

60 AUG 18 1952

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 62-88217

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Rosen *AR*

FROM : Mr. Pennington *DP*

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENSPUN, ETC..
PERJURY

DATE: August 8, 1952

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

Attached for the file are Photostats of copies of the following documents which were made available to Assistant to the Director Nichols by Senator Pat McCarran (D - Nev.):

Transcript of testimony at Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction held May 16 through May 23, 1952, inclusive.

Transcript of arguments at Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction held May 22 and 25, 1952.

Two groups of various clippings of articles appearing in the Las Vegas Sun and the Las Vegas Review Journal between May 16 and May 28, 1952.

Two copies of letter dated July 9, 1952, directed to Senator McCarran by Virgil H. Wedge with two copies of editorial appearing in Manchester (N.H.) Union Leader on June 28, 1952.

The above should be made a part of the file in this

EFK:jmc *jmc*

Attachment

RECORDED - 49

62-97007-51
26 AUG 12 1952

57 AUG 13 1952

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten initials]

Becky deluged per form 4-341 dated 7/31/68

SECURITY ON BULKY MAIL REVIEWED & RETAINED PER MEMO 5-9-58

Truman's copy to [unclear] by [unclear] memo submitted per [unclear] Kueffer

AUGUST 7, 1952

URGENT

SAC, SALT LAKE CITY

UNSUBS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPON, ETC., PERJURY, REURLET JULY TWENTY-TWO LAST. URTELS DATED JULY FIFTEEN LAST MAKE NO MENTION THAT MINUTES OF FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, WERE BEING FORWARDED TO BUREAU. IDENTIFY COMMUNICATION IN WHICH BUREAU WAS SO ADVISED. ADVISE SOURCE FROM WHICH COPIES OF MINUTES OBTAINED. SUTEL.

HOOVER

EPK:JS

62-97007

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____

RECORDED - 135

EX - 103

2-50P

13

AUG 11 1952

RECEIVED FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
AUG 7 3 21 PM '52

62-97007-52

Handwritten initials: EPK, JS, and others.

Handwritten initials: LRP, and others.

05 AUG 18 1952

COPIES DESTROYED

205 DEC 2 1964

10. 64
Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Director, FBI

DATE: 8/5/52

FROM : SAC, Salt Lake City (74-36)

SUBJECT: UNSUBS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, Plaintiff,
vs. PATRICK A. McCARRAN, et al, Defendants,
Civil Case No. 1002, USDC, Carson City, Nevada
PERJURY

4-1
7-1

In accordance with the instructions contained in your teletype 8/5/52, corrected copies of pages one and two of the report of SA [redacted] Salt Lake City, Utah, 7/22/52, are transmitted herewith. It is requested that these be inserted in the Bureau's copies.

b6
b7C

It will be noted that the designation "former" has been removed from the title of the United States Attorney, MILES N. PIKE. At the time this report was prepared Mr. PIKE was supposed to have left office; however, the credentials for his successor have not yet been signed by the President, and he is still remaining in the office of the United States Attorney on a day-to-day basis. In view of the date of this report, the elimination of the word "former" is deemed proper.

Encl. (2)
DKB:FR
AMSD

6 ENCL → substituted in serial 72-97007-34
7/22/52 8-13-52

RECORDED.

72-97007-53
AUG 7 1952

66 AUG 15 1952

Completed

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. LADD

DATE: August 5, 1952

FROM : MR. ROSEN

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENSPUN, Doing business
under the firm name and style of
① LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF, vs.
PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL - DEFENDANTS;
CIVIL ACTION No. 1002,
U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF NEVADA
PERJURY

VP 4 - 1
VP 6 - 1
VP 7 - 1

Tolson	
Ladd	
Nichols	
Belmont	
Clegg	
Glavin	
Harbo	
Rosen	
Tracy	
Mohr	
Tele. Rm.	
Nease	
Gandy	

G. I. R. - 3

[Handwritten signatures and initials: J. Edgar Hoover, J. P. [unclear], Kieffer]

By memorandum dated July 14, 1952, the Attorney General advised that the story had been circulated that Judge Foley (the presiding judge in the captioned civil antitrust action) made some statement to the effect that perjury had been committed in the course of the trial of this matter. The Attorney General requested that Judge Foley, former U. S. Attorney Pike and two Assistant U. S. Attorneys who have resigned, be interviewed. The requested interviews were conducted without developing any tangible information. Judge Foley said, in commenting relative to perjury having been committed, that he had reference to the fact that contradictory testimony had been given. The results of these interviews were furnished to the Attorney General by memorandum dated July 22, 1952.

In his memorandum of July 14, 1952, the Attorney General also requested that a copy of the record in this case be obtained and forwarded to him. The Salt Lake City Office determined that no extra copies of the transcript, which was extremely lengthy, were available and the court stenographer was on vacation. Purported copies of the transcripts of the hearings and arguments were obtained from Senator Pat McCarran (D-Nev) and were furnished to the Attorney General with our memorandum dated July 22, 1952, at which time he was advised that we were having these transcripts checked against the official court record to determine whether they were complete.

Assistant to the Director Nichols was informed by Senator McCarran that the law firm of McCarran, Rice, Wedge and Blakey had affidavits from everybody concerned in this case and that Richard W. Blakey of this firm would make these affidavits available to us. Mr. Blakey was contacted and he advised that he did not have affidavits from everybody concerned in this case. He did have copies of four affidavits, some incomplete, which had been executed by four of the defendants in this matter and which had been furnished to him by another attorney who was representing these four defendants. Mr. Blakey

Attachment

cc: Mr. Nichols

RECORDED - 135

162-97007-54
AUG 13 1952

EFK:jlw
62-97007

88 AUG 22 1952

[Handwritten initials]

[Handwritten initials]

[Handwritten initials]

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

declined to make these affidavits available without the approval of the attorney from whom he had obtained them. In our memorandum of July 22, 1952, this information was brought to the attention of the Attorney General and he was informed that unless he specifically requested it no further action would be taken to secure copies of these affidavits.

The Salt Lake City Division determined that the transcripts furnished by Senator McCarran represented the complete court record with the exception of thirty-two miscellaneous pleadings which were also very lengthy. Copies of these pleadings were not available at the office of the U. S. Clerk of Court, Carson City, Nevada; however, it was determined that the entire record was being forwarded immediately to the Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, and it would be printed within two weeks after its arrival in San Francisco. Our San Francisco Office has been instructed to secure two copies of the complete printed record and forward them to the Bureau.

By memorandum dated July 31, 1952, the Attorney General was advised that a printed copy of the complete record, including the thirty-two miscellaneous pleadings, would be forwarded to him as soon as it was received. (As of 8-2-52 record had not been received at San Francisco.)

For our own information, the transcripts of hearings and arguments which were furnished by Senator McCarran were reviewed. The contradictory testimony noted therein has been briefed in the attached memorandum. In addition, this contradictory testimony has been abstracted under appropriate captions and quoted verbatim in considerable detail in a blank memorandum which is also attached.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

The question now arises as to whether the contradictory testimony noted in our review of the transcripts made available by Senator McCarran should be furnished to the Attorney General and there are two views to be considered on this point:

1. That we not furnish the results of our review of this testimony to the Attorney General. In this regard it is pointed out that we have conducted the interviews requested by the Attorney General and have furnished him with the results thereof. We have, insofar as possible, secured and forwarded to the Attorney General as much of the record in this case as is now available. We are arranging to secure the remainder of the record and will send it to him as soon as it is prepared in printed form. By complying with the Attorney General's request we have adequately discharged our responsibilities.

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

To probe into the testimony given in this civil action in which the U. S. Government is not a party in interest and which is still pending adjudication in the courts, might be considered not within the scope of our proper activity as an investigative agency but rather the duty and responsibility of the Department.

In this connection, it is observed that if we do furnish the observations the Attorney General may use this as a basis for requesting that we make a minute examination of the testimony and conduct a complete investigation concerning all testimony we believe to be contradictory.

2. That we furnish to the Attorney General the results of our review of this testimony solely for his information. Mr. Nichols is of the opinion that it would be desirable to do this.

ACTION TAKEN:

Advt
In view of Mr. Nichols' observation, a memorandum furnishing the Attorney General with our observations of this material has been prepared. It is attached for your approval if it is desired it be furnished to the Attorney General.

2 copies 4/17

OK.
Y.
Jones
1/15/47
sel

AUGUST 13, 1952

SAC, SALT LAKE CITY URGENT

PERSONAL ATTENTION

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS; H. M. GREENSPUN, ETC.; PERJURY. REURTEL AUGUST SEVEN LAST. YOU ARE INSTRUCTED TO OBTAIN FULL EXPLANATIONS FROM ALL PERSONNEL RESPONSIBLE FOR FAILURE TO NOTIFY BUREAU THAT FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT MINUTE ORDERS HAD BEEN ISSUED AND COPIES OBTAINED FOR TRANSMITTAL TO BUREAU. ALSO OBTAIN FULL EXPLANATIONS FROM ALL PERSONNEL RESPONSIBLE FOR ADVISING BUREAU THAT TRANSCRIPTS FORWARDED TO YOUR OFFICE WITH BULET DATED JULY TWENTYSECOND LAST REPRESENTED COMPLETE COURT RECORD, WITH EXCEPTION OF THIRTYTWO MISCELLANEOUS PLEADINGS, WHEN, IN FACT, THIS WAS NOT CORRECT. FURNISH YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS AS TO ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION THAT SHOULD BE TAKEN AGAINST RESPONSIBLE PERSONNEL.

HOOVER

RECORDED - 11

EFK:HJK:jh

62-97007 - 55

cc: Mr. Glavin (det)

Aug 13 5 10 PM '52
RECEIVED
F. B. I.
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

COPIES DESTROYED
20 DEC 2 1964

82 AUG 25 1952

Handwritten notes and signatures:
8-05-52
JPM
LHG
C
cut

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd
FROM : A. Rosen *pr*

DATE: August 13, 1952

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENSPUN, ETC.
PERJURY

(4)

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Nease _____
- Gandy _____

PURPOSE:

To request explanations from personnel in the Salt Lake City Office responsible for failure to properly inform the Bureau of existence of minute orders of Federal District Court, Carson City, Nevada.

BACKGROUND:

This is the case in which it has been alleged that perjury was committed during the course of the hearings.

The Salt Lake City Division was instructed to obtain a copy of the complete record in this case by telephone call on July 15, 1952. Salt Lake City teletype bearing the same date advised it had been determined that the transcript in this case was 610 pages long, there were no extra copies available, and the court reporter was on vacation.

A transcript of the testimony and arguments was obtained from Senator McCarran (D-Nev.), and a copy was forwarded to the Attorney General and another copy was forwarded to the Salt Lake City Division with instructions that these transcripts be compared against the official court record to determine whether they represented the entire court record. This transcript was forwarded by Bureau letter under date of July 22, 1952.

Salt Lake City teletype dated July 30, 1952, advised that these transcripts after having been received were compared with the court record, and it was determined that they represented the complete court record with the exception of 32 miscellaneous pleadings. This was the first time that we knew that 32 miscellaneous pleadings were in existence.

Salt Lake City letter dated July 22, 1952; received in the Investigative Division on August 5, forwarded copies of minute orders issued by the U. S. District Court, District of Nevada,

cc: Mr. Glavin

EFK:jh
62-97007

Attachment

RECORDED - 11

A-60

62-97007-55
AUG 15 1952
JRM

LSP

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

between May 7 and May 26, 1952, in instant civil action. This was the first time that we knew that such orders existed and we, therefore, sent a teletype on August 7, 1952, in which it was asked that Salt Lake City identify the communication in which the Bureau had been advised that these minute orders had been issued inasmuch as Bureau files failed to reflect prior notification.

Salt Lake City teletype dated August 7, 1952, did not answer the Bureau's specific question as to when they had previously advised the Bureau of the existence of these minute orders. (Factually, no previous advice had ever been given the Bureau.)


OBSERVATIONS:

The Salt Lake City Division erred in the first instance in their failure to advise the Bureau of the existence of minute orders. Up to the time of their letter of July 22 transmitting the material which was received in the Investigative Division on August 5, we had no previous knowledge of the existence of these minute orders.

The Salt Lake City Division erred further in advising the Bureau in their teletype dated July 30, 1952, that the transcripts forwarded by the Bureau under date of July 22, 1952, represented the complete court record with the exception of 32 miscellaneous pleadings when, in fact, this was not true. The minute orders are as much a part of the record in this case as the transcript of testimony, and the Bureau should have been notified that these minute orders were in existence and had been forwarded.

ACTION TAKEN:

Attached is a teletype to the Salt Lake City Division requesting complete explanations from all personnel responsible for the derelictions outlined above.



INVENTORY OF PROPERTY ACQUIRED AS EVIDENCE

Salt Lake City Field Division

August 8, 1952 (Date)

Title and Character of Case

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS: BERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, Plaintiff,
vs. PATRICK A. McCARRAN, et al., Defendants, Civil

Case No. 1002, USDC, Carson City, Nevada

PER JURY

Field Division File Number

74-36

Bureau File Number

Not Available

Description of Property Being Held

Transcript of testimony in Civil Action.

Hearing on motion for preliminary injunction.

G. I. R. -3

Date Property Acquired and Authority for Acquisition

7/23/52 - Evidence

Source from Which Property Acquired

Bureau

Location of Property

Bulky Exhibit Cabinet

Reason for Retention of Property and Efforts Which Have Been Made to Dispose of It

Case Pending

JEJ:daj
74-36
cc: 66-1298

162-97007
NOT RECORDED
53 AUG 19 1952

53 AUG 25 1952

04-1
07-1
fw

SEA

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 8/18/52

FROM : SAC, San Francisco (62-3989)

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS, H. M. GREENSPUN,
Doing Business under the Firm Name and
Style of Las Vegas Sun - Plaintiff, vs.
PATRICK A. MC CARRAN, etal - Defendants
Civil Action No. 1002, USDC, District Court of Nevada
PERJURY

a4-1
a6-1
a7-1

m.z.

Remytel 8/7/52.

U. S. Circuit Court Clerk at San Francisco advised on August 18, 1952, that the printing costs had not yet been paid, and he estimated that it would be at least three weeks after the printing costs had been paid before instant record would be printed. B

Arrangements have been made to obtain two copies of the printed record, and these copies will be forwarded as soon as they are available. The Bureau will be kept advised in this matter.

JAD:ER

AIR MAIL

RECORDED - 152

62-97007-56

AUG 20 1952

SE 23 106

68 AUG 22 1952

[Handwritten signature]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

AUG 7 1952

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

✓

WASH 24 FROM SALT LAKE CITY VIA LOSA 7 2-46 PM

DIRECTOR, FBI URGENT

UNSUBS, HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, ETC., PERJURY. TELEPHONE CALL FROM BUREAU JULY FIFTEEN LAST REQUESTED COMPLETE RECORD THIS CASE. THIS OFFICE UNABLE OBTAIN TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY EXPEDITIOUSLY AND REQUESTED BUREAU BY METEL JULY FIFTEEN LAST TO PHOTOSTAT COPIES OF TRANSCRIPT WHICH WERE LOCATED AT WASH., D. C. EFFORTS WERE CONTINUED THIS OFFICE TO OBTAIN REMAINDER OF COMPLETE COURT RECORD AND OFFICE WAS SUCCESSFUL IN OBTAINING MINUTES OF COURT FROM U. S. CLERK OF COURT, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, WHICH WERE FORWARDED BY-MELET JULY TWENTYTWO LAST.

RECORDED 162-99607-57
161
13 AUG 10 1952

BROWN

END ACK PLS

HOLD PLS

82 AUG 26 1952

*8/11/52
referred to [unclear]*

(Handwritten initials and marks)

Ladd
Rosen
Malley
Pennington
Mr. Kieffer

The Attorney General

August 11, 1952

Director, FBI (62-97007) - 58

RECORDED - 161

EX-180

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENSPUN, doing business
under the firm name and style of
LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF, vs.
PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL - DEFENDANTS;
CIVIL ACTION NO. 1002,
U. S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEVADA,
PERJURY

Attached is a Photostat of each of the minute orders issued in the United States District Court in and for the District of Nevada between May 7 and May 26, 1952, in the captioned civil action. Copies of these minute orders were obtained from the United States Clerk of Court, Carson City, Nevada.

You will recall that my memorandum dated August 5, 1952, informed you that a copy of the entire record in this case would be obtained and forwarded to you as soon as it was available in printed form. Our San Francisco Office determined from the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, that this record was received by him on August 7, 1952. He estimated that it would be at least ten days before plans for printing and payment therefor could be made. He estimated further that if this particular printing job is given priority over all others, it would still require at least thirty days additional time for the record to be prepared completely in printed form.

Our San Francisco Office is following this matter closely and a copy of the record in this case will be forwarded to you as soon as it is available.

Enclosure

2 cc: Assistant Attorney General
James M. McInerney

1 cc: Mr. Nichols

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Laughlin
Mohr
Tele. Rm.
Holloman
Gandy

EFK:DEJ dep

MAILED 2
AUG 11 1952
COMM-FBI

RECEIVED
AUG 11 5 05 PM '52
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
DIRECTOR

62 AUG 26 1952

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

AUG 8 1952

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

RHP

W. H. Galt
4724

WASH FROM SAN FRAN S22

8-7-52

/8/

9-37 PM

ADB

DIRECTOR

DEFERRED

UNSUES, H. M. GREENSPUN, DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND
 STYLE OF LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF, VS. PATRICK A. MC CACRAN, ETAL -
 DEFENDANTS, CIVIL ACTION NO. ONE ZERO ZERO TWO, USDC, DISTRICT OF
 NEVADA, PERJURY. REMYTEL FIRST INST. CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT OF
 APPEALS, SF, TODAY ADVISED RECORD THIS CASE RECEIVED THIS PM.
 ESTIMATES THAT AT LEAST TEN DAYS WILL BE REQUIRED BEFORE PLANS
 FOR PRINTING AND PAYMENT THEREFOR CAN BE MADE. THEREAFTER HE
 ESTIMATES THAT IF THIS PARTICULAR PRINTING JOB GIVEN PRIORITY OVER
 ALL OTHERS IN OFFICE IT WOULD STILL REQUIRE AT LEAST THIRTY DAYS
 ADDITIONAL TIME TO PRINT RECORD. THIS MATTER WILL BE CLOSELY
 FOLLOWED WITH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS CLERK AND BUREAU KEPT
 ADVISED.

62-97007-58

RECORDED - 161 ¹³ AUG 19 1952

ABBATICCHIO

HOLDPLS

EX-18
8/11/52
memo to [unclear]
[Signature]
[Signature]

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson ✓
FROM : L. B. Nichols
SUBJECT: HERMAN M. GREENSPUN

DATE: July 16, 1952

Tolson ✓
Ladd ✓
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen ✓
Tracy
Tele. Rm.
Nease
Gandy

I talked to Senator McCarran this morning regarding the investigation we had been requested to make by the Attorney General to determine whether perjury had been committed in this case. I inquired of the Senator where we could get a complete copy of the transcript. He stated he had a complete copy which he made available, along with the daily issues of the Las Vegas Star containing Greenspun's column. The Greenspun column refers to the veracity of witnesses and the judge at one point in the transcript observed that there had been perjury. There is a sharp conflict of testimony. The Senator asked that the transcript along with the newspapers be sent to his law firm, McCarran, Rice, Wedge & Blakey, 10 State Street, Reno, Nevada, after we had completed our use of them.

I suggest that two photostatic copies of the transcript be made, one copy for our files, and the Senator asked if there was any way we could make a copy for his office inasmuch as his law firm will need the transcript for future handling of the case. The photostatic copy for the Senator should be delivered to his office here in Washington and the original transcript I think should be forwarded to our Salt Lake City Office for delivery to Richard W. Blakey who handled this case for McCarran, along with the newspapers. If there are any pertinent portions in the newspapers we should make photostats but should not mutilate the newspapers in any way as the Senator wants to use the newspapers for exhibits.

The Senator further stated that his law firm has affidavits of everybody concerned in the case and if we would have an Agent contact Mr. Blakey, Mr. Blakey would be glad to make any affidavits available to us. Mr. Blakey should be advised by the Agent contacting him that he is doing so pursuant to the request of the Senator made to the Bureau in Washington.

It is suggested that the transcript be photostated/review and I think we should review the transcript and newspapers as expeditiously as possible in order that they might be returned to the Senator.

cc: Mr. Ladd Sent to Mr. Ladd.
Mr. Rosen

LBN:CMC

SECRET



162-97007-59
AUG 13 1952

65 AUG 26 1952

EX-13

(Handwritten initials)

Am



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUL 17 1952

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Ladd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Nichols	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Belmont	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Clegg	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Glavin	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Harbo	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Rosen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Tracy	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Laughlin	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Mohr	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Holloman	<input type="checkbox"/>
Miss Gandy	<input type="checkbox"/>

The Grady

la

WASHINGTON 30 FROM CHICAGO
DIRECTOR AND SAC SALT LAKE CITY

17 5-28 PM

U R G E N T

UNSUBS, HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, PERJURY. JUDGE ROGER T. FOLEY CONTACTED AT APRTMENT FIVE NAUGHT TWO, SIX FIVE TWO NINE SOUTH KENWOOD, CG., ILL. HE CONFIRMED THAT HE HAD GRANTED AN INJUNCTION IN FAVOR OF GREENSPUN IN SUIT FILED BY GREENSPUN, BUT STATED THAT INSTANT CASE STILL PENDING, THEREFORE HE WOULD MAKE NO STATEMENT CONCERNING SAME, OTHER THAN THAT HE DID MAKE THE STATEMENT THAT QUOTE PERJURY UNQUOTE HAD BEEN COMMITTED IN THE HEARINGS. HE BELIEVED THIS STATEMENT MADE IN OPEN COURT AND REMARKED HE COULD QUOTE JUST AS WELL HAVE USED THE EXPRESSION CONTRADICTORY TESTIMONY UNQUOTE SINCE THE MEANING OF HIS STATEMENT WAS THAT CONTRADICTORY TESTIMONY HAD BEEN GIVEN. HE ADDED THAT CONTRADICTORY TESTIMONY IS GIVEN IN EVERY CASE. JUDGE FOLEY STATED HE BELIEVED THE TESTIMONY HAD NOW BEEN TRANSCRIBED, AND THAT A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COULD REVIEW SAME IF SO DESIRED.

MALONE

RECORDED - 135

62-97007-60
AUG 18 1952
21

SCORR PLS LINE THREE RD SEVEN IS "AN" LINE SEVEN LST WRD IS "COURT"

SU TO BE ADVISED



HOLD PLS

AUG 29 1952 F12

EX-13 (23)

cc Mr Rosen
The Grady

Open



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUL 15 1952

TELETYPE

- Mr. Tolson
- Mr. Ladd
- Mr. Nichols
- Mr. Belmont
- Mr. Clegg
- Mr. Glavin
- Mr. Harbo
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Tracy
- Mr. Laughlin
- Mr. Mohr
- Tele. Room
- Mr. Holloman
- Miss Gandy

Handwritten signature

la

FBI SALT LAKE CITY

7-15-52

3-16 PM

DIRECTOR, FBI

URGENT

UNSUBS, HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, PERJURY. USA MILES N. PIKE, AUSA-S
WILLIAM J. KANE, BRUCE R. THOMPSON AND ROBERT L. MC DONALD AT RENO,
 NEVADA, HAVE NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF FACTS OF GREENSPUN CASE AND HAVE
 RECEIVED NO INFORMATION FROM U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE ROGER T. FOLEY CON-
 CERNING ALLEGED PERJURY COMMITTED DURING GREENSPUN CIVIL SUIT. NONE OF
 ABOVE MENTIONED ATTORNEYS HAD ANY CONNECTION WITH SUIT WHICH WAS A CIVI
 L MATTER IN WHICH UNITED STATES WAS NOT A PARTY. MR. PIKE RECALLS READIN
 G JUDGE FOLEY-S DECISION IN THE MATTER BUT RECALLS NO MENTION OF PERJURY.
 JUDGE FOLEY-S DECISION APPEARS IN THE COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT WHICH IS SIX
 HUNDRED TEN PAGES LONG, MENTIONED MYTEL THIS DATE. AUSA KANE RECALLS
READING IN A NEWSPAPER ACCOUNT THAT JUDGE FOLEY REPORTEDLY MADE STATE-
MENT FROM THE BENCH THAT - "IT APPEARS THAT PERJURY HAS BEEN COMMITTED,
IN VIEW OF CONTRADICTORY STATEMENTS OF WITNESSES." FURTHER INVESTIGA-
 TION WILL AWAIT INTERVIEW OF JUDGE FOLEY BY CHICAGO.

former

former

present

Handwritten note: "Handwritten note in left margin"

162-97007-61

AUG 13 1952

BROWN

END ACK PLS

6-20 PM OK FBI WA MIM

RECORDED - 135

EX - 13

TU DISC
8 AUG 26 1952

Handwritten note: "dc Mr Rosen has Mr 5 guth"

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUL 15 1952

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Egan	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Webster

FBI SALT LAKE CITY 7-15-52 11-55 AM
DIRECTOR, FBI URGENT

UNSUBS, HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, PERJURY. RETEL FROM MR. JIM MALLEY
TODAY. JUDGE ROGER T. FOLEY IS IN CHICAGO, ILLINOIS FOR PURPOSE OF
ATTENDING DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION, CARE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] CHICAGO BEING REQUESTED TO INTERVIEW.

b6
b7c

EFFORTS MADE TO OBTAIN RECORD THIS CASE REFLECT TRANSCRIPT SIX HUNDRED
TEN PAGES LONG, NO EXTRA COPIES AVAILABLE AND COURT REPORTER ON
VACATION. ATTORNEY W. A. ROBERTS OF FIRM ROBERTS AND MC INNIS, DE
SALES BLDG., WASH., D. C. HAS COPY OF TRANSCRIPT. SUGGEST BUREAU
ARRANGE PHOTOSTAT THIS COPY FOR DELIVERY ATTORNEY GENERAL. IF THIS
CANNOT BE ARRANGED ADVISE SALT LAKE CITY IN ORDER COPY CAN BE BORROWED
ELSEWHERE AND TYPED BY STENOS THIS OFFICE. FURNISH PHOTOSTATIC COPIES
THIS OFFICE FOR USE IN INVESTIGATION. OTHER INTERVIEWS BEING CONDUCTED
AND BUREAU WILL BE ADVISED BY TELETYPE TODAY.

get 3

Make sure we go ahead

BROWN

END ACK PLS

HOLD

2-59 PM OK FBI

162-97007-62
AUG 18 1952
21

RECORDED - 135

*Per Nichols request to add
to file should not attempt
to record of case
7/16/52*

Q

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd
FROM : Mr. Rosen

DATE: July 22, 1952

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENSPUN, doing business
under the firm name and style of
LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF, vs
PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL - DEFENDANTS;
CIVIL ACTION NO. 1002
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
PERJURY

Tolson	
Ladd	
Nichols	
Belmont	
Clegg	
Glavin	
Harbo	
Rosen	
Tracy	
Mohr	
Tele. Rm.	
Nease	
Gandy	

PURPOSE:

To advise background of civil action between Greenspun and McCarran, et al. Interviews with present and former employees of United States Attorney's office at Reno, requested by the Attorney General revealed no information pertinent to case. Judge Roger T. Foley said he made the statement that perjury had been committed in hearings but could just as well have said "contradictory testimony." He added he believed contradictory testimony is given in every case. Transcripts of hearings and arguments obtained from Senator McCarran.

BACKGROUND:

Herman Milton Greenspun, owner and operator of the Las Vegas Sun, a daily newspaper in Las Vegas, Nevada, advised the Salt Lake City office that a group of advertisers, which account for over thirty per cent of the newspaper's income, were cancelling their advertising with the Las Vegas Sun and he had learned that orders to do so had come from Senator Pat McCarran (D-Nev.). He believed Senator McCarran's actions were in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act. This information was furnished to the Antitrust Division by memorandum dated April 18, 1952. The Antitrust Division did not request investigation.

The Sherman Act contains a section which permits a damaged party to file a suit in Federal court in instances in which this party believes the Antitrust laws have been violated and a financial loss has resulted. Apparently Greenspun availed himself of this privilege and filed the civil suit identified in the above caption.

cc: Mr. Nichols
Attachments
EFK:rmk

RECORDED - 135

162-92007-63
AUG 18 1952
7 21

65 AUG 27 1952

60K

LRP
G

MEMORANDUM TO MR. LADD

By memorandum dated July 14, 1952, the Attorney General advised that the story had been circulated that Judge Foley (the presiding judge in this civil action) made some statement to the effect that perjury had been committed in the course of the trial of this matter. The Attorney General requested that Judge Foley, former United States Attorney Pike, and the two Assistant United States Attorneys who had resigned be interviewed. He also requested that a copy of the record of this case be obtained and forwarded to him.

Results of Investigation:

The Salt Lake City office interviewed United States Attorney Miles N. Pike (it is understood he will be leaving office as soon as his successor is appointed), former Assistant United States Attorneys William J. Kane and Bruce R. Thompson and Assistant United States Attorney Robert L. McDonald at Reno, Nevada. None of these individuals had any connection with instant suit which was a civil action in which the U. S. Government was not a party in interest. They had no personal knowledge of the facts of the case and had not received any information from Judge Foley concerning the alleged perjury. Former Assistant United States Attorney Kane recalled reading a newspaper article that reported Judge Foley as having said "It appears that perjury has been committed, in view of contradictory testimony of witnesses."

Judge Roger T. Foley was interviewed at Chicago, Illinois. He confirmed the fact that he had granted an injunction in favor of Greenspun but would make no statement concerning the case as it is still pending. He said that he did make the statement that "perjury" had been committed in the hearings and he believed he made this statement in open court. He remarked that he could "just as well have used the expression 'contradictory testimony!' since the meaning of his statement was that contradictory testimony had been given. He added that contradictory testimony is given in every case. Judge Foley said that as far as he was concerned the statements that perjury had been committed and contradictory testimony had been given are synonymous. There was a strong inference during the interview that Judge Foley thought an investigation was not necessary but he did not actually make such a statement and he was not asked specifically whether he thought an investigation should be made.

MEMORANDUM TO MR. LADD

The Salt Lake City office advised that efforts were made to obtain a copy of the record in this case. It was determined that the transcript was 610 pages long, no extra copies were available and the court stenographer was on vacation.

A transcript of the testimony and a transcript of the arguments in this matter, together with several pertinent newspaper articles, were obtained by Assistant to the Director Nichols from Senator McCarran and Photostats are being forwarded to the Attorney General. When furnishing these transcripts Senator McCarran also furnished a copy of a letter directed to him by Virgil Wedge, member of the firm McCarran, Rice, Wedge, and Blakey, Reno, dated July 9, 1952, which transmitted this material to the Senator. This letter reflected this was believed to be almost a full and complete copy of the transcript in this case and was obtained by re-assembling that portion of the record McCarran, Rice, Wedge, and Blakey previously had with that portion that had been obtained from William Woodburn, Jr. (Woodburn and Woodburn, attorneys).

Mr. Nichols was told by Senator McCarran that his law firm had affidavits from everybody concerned in this case and if we would have an Agent contact Mr. Blakey the latter would be glad to make these affidavits available to us. The Salt Lake City office contacted Mr. Blakey and he advised that he did not have any such affidavits. He did have a copy of an affidavit signed by [redacted] which bore [redacted] twoewritten signature, an unsigned copy of an affidavit of [redacted] and an unfinished copy of an affidavit given by [redacted]. b6 b7C

These three individuals are defendants in this civil action and the copies of the affidavits mentioned above were given to Blakey by William Woodburn, Jr. of the law firm of Woodburn and Woodburn who represented these three men in the civil action. Blakey would not release these affidavits without Woodburn's permission. Blakey also had a copy of an affidavit filed in the U. S. District Court by Artemus Ham, attorney in the law firm of Ham and Ham, which was filed prior to the eight-day hearing held in Las Vegas, Nevada. Subsequent to this hearing Ham filed a supplementary affidavit but Blakey did not have a copy of it. Ham, also a defendant, was represented by Woodburn and Woodburn, and Blakey would not release a copy of this affidavit without Woodburn's permission.

MEMORANDUM TO MR. LADD

The Salt Lake City office advised Mr. Blakey that Senator McCarran had said these affidavits would be made available. Mr. Blakey advised these affidavits were furnished to him in confidence, that the persons who gave these affidavits were not his clients and that he would not make them available even though Senator McCarran had made this request.

Observations:

The interviews requested by the Attorney General have been completed. Transcripts of the hearings and arguments requested by the Attorney General have been obtained from Senator McCarran. These transcripts may or may not be complete. A Photostat of these transcripts are being forwarded to the Salt Lake City office in order that they may be checked against the official court record to determine whether they are complete, and if not Salt Lake City will make copies of documents necessary to complete the record. The disputed testimony was given in a civil action in the U. S. District Court for the District of Nevada. The U. S. Government was not a party in interest in this matter. The presiding judge stated he said perjury had been committed in the hearings, but when questioned about this, he stated he could just as well have used the expression "contradictory testimony." He further said he believed contradictory testimony is given in every case.

It is believed the responsibilities of the Bureau will have been adequately discharged by our doing exactly what the Attorney General requested be done. It is felt that to probe into the testimony given in this case, which is still pending before the U. S. District Court for the District of Nevada, when the presiding magistrate hearing the matter has indicated, although he has not definitely so said, that he does not believe investigation is necessary and in the absence of the specific request of the Attorney General to do so, would be to exceed our duties as an investigative agency. Examination of the transcript of testimony to determine whether a proper reason for investigation exists is thought to be the duty of the Department and it would appear that the Attorney General shares this view as indicated by his request that a transcript of the record be obtained for him.

MEMORANDUM TO MR. LADD

RECOMMENDATIONS:

If you agree it is recommended that Mr. Nichols inform Senator McCarran that we have made certain limited inquiries requested by the Attorney General and the results of these inquiries have been forwarded to the Attorney General together with transcripts of testimony and arguments in this matter furnished by the Senator. It is also recommended that Mr. Nichols inform Senator McCarran of the results of our contact with Mr. Blakey as reflected above.

It is recommended that the Attorney General be advised of the results of interviews requested by him and that the transcripts obtained from Senator McCarran be furnished to the Attorney General. In doing so, the Attorney General should be informed that this may or may not be a complete transcript of the record in this case and that our Salt Lake City office is checking a copy of the transcript furnished with the record to determine whether anything is lacking. If so, copies will be made and forwarded to him. The Attorney General is being asked to advise whether he desires that we make any effort to obtain copies of affidavits now in possession of the firm of Woodburn and Woodburn, attorneys who represented several of the defendants in this civil action, it being noted he may not desire that this be done inasmuch as this action is still pending in Federal court.

It is recommended, also, that the Salt Lake City office be furnished a copy of the transcripts given by Senator McCarran in order that these transcripts may be checked against official court record to determine whether it is complete and if not to secure copies of that which is lacking in order that same might be made available to the Attorney General.

ACTION TAKEN:

Attached hereto for your approval if you concur are letters to the Attorney General and to the Salt Lake City office along the lines recommended above.

7/24/52
sent
Dmf

Filey

Done
7/24
Memo
Nichols
7-28-52
LW

MEMORANDUM TO MR. LADD

ACTION TO BE TAKEN:

The transcript of testimony and newspaper articles will be examined and contradictory testimony noted therein believed to be pertinent will be brought to your attention.

OK ✓
I concur in all
recommendations.

H,

McCARRAN, RICE, WEDGE AND BLAKEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
10 STATE STREET
RENO, NEVADA

RENO 2-7065
POST OFFICE BOX 459

PAT McCARRAN
GORDON W. RICE
VIRGIL H. WEDGE
RICHARD W. BLAKEY
JOHN E. GABRIELLI

July 9, 1952

AIR EXPRESS
REGISTERED

Honorable Pat McCarran
United States Senator
Senate Office Building
Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator:

Re: Greenspun vs. McCarran, et al.

I have finally been successful in obtaining what I believe is at least almost a full and complete copy of the transcript in the above-entitled case. This has been done by re-assembling that portion of the record which we previously had with that portion which we were successful in obtaining from [redacted]

b6
b7c

I am forwarding the same on to you with a specific understanding with [redacted] that after you have reviewed the same and within a reasonable time in the future, it will be returned to this office to be disassembled and his portion returned to him. He will need his portion in that, as you know, an appeal is being taken and he is representing one of the appellants.

I am informed that the reason none of the parties have a complete record in this case was that the court reporter was supplying an unofficial record at the end of each day by distributing copies of the same to the attorneys in the case and that from time to time she inadvertently omitted serving copies on different attorneys in the case.

I am also enclosing herein a copy of an editorial appearing in the Manchester (N.H.) Union Leader which may be of interest to you.

I hope that we may be seeing you in Reno at some time in the near future.

b6
b7c

VHW:AM
Encs.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson ✓

DATE: July 28, 1952

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT: HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN

Tolson	✓
Ladd	✓
Nichols	_____
Belmont	_____
Clegg	_____
Glavin	_____
Harbo	_____
Rosen	✓
Tracy	_____
Mohr	_____
Tele. Rm.	_____
Nease	_____
Gandy	_____

la

For record purposes, Senator McCarran called me from Chicago Saturday afternoon and I told the Senator I wanted to bring him up to date on the Greenspun matter. I advised the Senator that the Attorney General had requested that we make four interviews in connection with the allegation of perjury in the Greenspun Case and that we had made the interviews with Judge Foley, former United States Attorney Pike, and the two assistants. Judge Foley stated that there were contradictions and he may have said there was perjury; however, in every lawsuit there has to be contradictions.

I further told the Senator that we had furnished a complete copy of the transcript which he had furnished us to the Attorney General and we were awaiting word from the Attorney General on this. I also told the Senator we had returned the original of his transcript to his law office in Reno. I further told the Senator that with reference to his advice to me that his firm had affidavits from all parties concerned and if we would have an Agent contact Mr. Blakey the latter would be glad to make these affidavits available to us, Blakey was contacted and he advised he did not have any such affidavits. Blakey had four affidavits but would not give them to us inasmuch as he had secured them from another law firm. The Senator stated that if Blakey did not have the affidavits then other members of the firm no doubt would have.

cc - Mr. Ladd
cc - Mr. Rosen
cc - Mr. Laughlin

LBN:md

162-97007-64
AUG 13 1952
21

RECORDED - 135

65 AUG 27 1952

AM ✓

memo to Mr. Ladd

8/13/52 memo to Mr. Ladd

64

2 memos to Mr. Ladd

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd

DATE: August 5, 1952

FROM : Mr. Rosen

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS; H. M. GREENSPUN vs. PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL CIVIL ACTION # 1002, U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF NEVADA PERJURY

- Tolson
Ladd
Clegg
Glavin
Nichols
Rosen
Tracy
Harbo
Belmont
Mohr
Tele. Room
Nease
Gandy

A partial transcript of the hearings in the civil antitrust matter, H. M. Greenspun, owner and operator of the Las Vegas Sun, vs. Patrick A. McCarran (D. Nev.) reviewed and some contradictory testimony commented upon.

BACKGROUND

In the spring of 1952 H. M. Greenspun, owner and operator of the Las Vegas Sun, a daily newspaper, apparently took sharp issue with Senator McCarran's alleged support of the candidacy of Senator Richard Russell for the Presidential nomination on the Democratic ticket. Greenspun alleges that in reprisal Senator McCarran instructed the operators of resort hotels and gambling casinos in the Las Vegas area to withdraw advertising support from the paper. He has filed a suit in the U. S. District Court under the Sherman Antitrust Act and is seeking one million dollars in damages.

By memorandum dated July 14, 1952, the Attorney General advised that the story had been circulated that Judge Foley (the presiding judge in the civil antitrust action) made some statement to the effect that perjury had been committed in the course of the trial of this matter. The Attorney General requested that Judge Foley, former United States Attorney Pike, and two Assistant United States Attorneys who had resigned, be interviewed. He also requested that a copy of the record in this case be obtained and forwarded to him. The requested interviews were conducted without developing any tangible information. Judge Foley stated that in commenting relative to perjury having been committed he had reference to the fact that contradictory testimony had been given. A purported transcript of the hearings was obtained from Senator McCarran and this material, together with the results of the investigation, was submitted to the Attorney General by memorandum dated July 22, 1952. This transcript is complete except for 32 miscellaneous pleadings, copies of which are not immediately available. The entire

Attachment

cc Mr. Nichols

6 AUG 26 1952

RECORDED - 135

162-97007-65

AUG 12 1952

EX

Handwritten initials and signatures

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

record is in the process of being forwarded to the Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, where it will be printed. The San Francisco Office will secure 2 copies of the printed record as soon as they are available and one copy will be sent to the Attorney General. The Attorney General was so advised by memorandum dated 7/31/52.

RESUME OF THE TESTIMONY

The transcript of the testimony obtained from Senator McCarran, together with newspaper articles and other material, has been reviewed merely for record purposes in order to comment upon the possible contradictory testimony presented during these hearings. We have not been requested by the Attorney General to conduct further investigation and we do not propose to do so until after he has had the testimony analyzed by attorneys in the Department and outlined the nature of the inquiries deemed necessary.

Greenspun's version of the events leading up to the filing of the suit is briefly as follows:

1. In March of 1952 he wrote an editorial in his newspaper in which he sponsored the candidacy of Senator Estes Kefauver and took strong issue with Senator McCarran's alleged support of the candidacy of Senator Richard Russell for Presidential nomination on the Democratic ticket.
2. On or about March 19 or 20, 1952, he had a conversation with Gus Greenbaum at the Flamingo Hotel wherein Greenbaum allegedly made some statements to the effect that if Greenspun continued his attacks on Senator McCarran he would ruin the gambling industry in Las Vegas.
3. Greenspun alleges that he learned through conversation with owners of some of the gambling casinos that on or about March 22, 1952, a meeting was held, possibly at the Desert Inn gambling casino, in which Marion Hicks of the Thunderbird Hotel informed the gambling interests that he had received a telephone call from Senator McCarran in which the Senator indicated the gambling interests should withdraw their advertising support from the Las Vegas Sun.
4. On or about March 23, 1952, Greenspun alleges he received information from various individuals to the effect that a meeting was held at the Golden Nugget gambling casino attended by Hicks of the Strip (resort hotels) and by Fred Soly of the downtown gambling casinos and others, in which plans were laid to curtail the advertising of the gambling interests in the Sun.

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

5. In the early morning of March 24, 1952, the Sun began to receive telephone calls from the gambling casinos and the resort hotels either curtailing or cancelling their advertising.

6. On March 26, 1952, a meeting was held in the office of the Mayor of the City of Las Vegas attended by Greenspun and two or three representatives of the gambling interests. In this meeting it was alleged that the representatives of the gambling interests admitted receiving instructions from Senator McCarran to curtail the advertising and were instructed by the Mayor to desist from any such action.

CONTRADICTIONARY TESTIMONY

The transcript of the proceedings which was made available to us by Senator McCarran has been reviewed in order to note any obviously contradictory statements contained therein. This review disclosed that the record is replete with contradictory statements which seem to be material in so far as the suit is concerned but in practically every instance it would be extremely difficult from an investigative standpoint to establish perjury since only two persons were present. The principal contradictions observed from a perusal of the testimony are the following.

1. Greenspun testified that on either March 19 or 20, 1952, he had a conversation with Gus Greenbaum, President of the Flamingo Hotel. Greenspun alleges that in that conversation Greenbaum said in effect that his attacks on the Senator would ruin the gambling industry (pages 94, 95). Greenbaum, in his testimony categorically denied making any such statements or that Senator McCarran's name was mentioned (pages 434, 436, 447).

2. Greenspun testified that he was told by Moe B. Dalitz of the Desert Inn that a meeting took place on or about March 22, 1952, in which Marion Hicks of the Thunderbird Hotel reported a telephone conversation he had had with Senator McCarran in which the Senator instructed the gambling interests to withdraw all support from the Sun (pages 67, 68, 69). Dalitz categorically denied making the statements attributed to him by Greenspun (pages 406, 407). Marion Hicks denied that he had ever received a call from Senator McCarran in which he was requested to withdraw advertising support from the Sun (page 459).

3. Greenspun testified that a considerable percentage of the advertising placed with the Sun by the resort hotels and gambling casinos was suddenly withdrawn or curtailed by a series of telephone

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

calls received on March 24, 1952. He said that in an effort to determine the reason for this action he telephoned Fred Soly who refused to answer any question and indicated that Greenspun had enough friends in the gambling business to find out the answer (page 45). Soly categorically denied making the statements attributed to him by Greenspun (pages 65, 70).

4. Greenspun testified that after his telephone conversation with Soly he went over to the Desert Inn where he conferred with Moe Dalitz. He said that Dalitz in effect criticized him for attacking the Senator in his newspaper and indicated that the gambling interests would have to do what he told them to do. It is indicated in this testimony that Dalitz was obligated to Senator McCarran because he assisted the casino in obtaining a gambling license (pages 47, 48, 49). Dalitz denied each statement attributed to him by Greenspun and stated positively that he did not seek any assistance from Senator McCarran in obtaining a state gambling license for the casino (pages 404, 405, 406).

5. Following the cancellation and curtailment of the advertising in the Las Vegas Sun it appears that Greenspun wrote a strong editorial condemning the joint action of the gambling interests. Based upon this editorial and perhaps with conversations with Greenspun, Mayor C. D. Baker of the City of Las Vegas called a meeting in his office on March 26, 1952. This was attended by the Mayor, Greenspun, Soly, [redacted] and later by Lt. Governor Clifford A. Jones. Greenspun and the Mayor testified as to admissions made by Soly [redacted] relative to the fact that a telephone call from Washington had prompted the action of the gambling casinos in withdrawing the advertising (pages 3, 4, 7, 12, 13, 57, 58, 488). Soly denied making any such statements (pages 73, 74, 76, 79) and [redacted] testified no such statements were made in his presence (pages 15, 16).

In the perusal of the testimony there were not observed any statements for the record by Judge Foley relative to the fact that perjury had been committed. We do have, however, a copy of an article in the May 23, 1952, issue of the Las Vegas Sun which states that after the day's session opened Judge Foley scolded Greenspun for a column printed in the Sun on May 22, 1952, accusing three witnesses of perjury. This newspaper article states that Judge Foley remarked "there obviously has been perjury committed in this case, but it is the court's place and not that of any newspaper, to determine who is lying." The contradictory testimony discussed briefly herein has been abstracted under appropriate captions and quoted verbatim in considerable detail in the blank memorandum attached hereto.

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

ACTION TO BE TAKEN

When the results of our investigation, together with the material we have been able to obtain, were transmitted to the Attorney General he was informed that if the record was not complete supplemental data would be furnished to him. As stated above, the San Francisco Office will obtain 2 printed copies of the complete record and one copy will be forwarded to the Attorney General as soon as it is received.

July 28, 1952

ALLEGED CONVERSATION BETWEEN HERMAN M.
GREENSPUN AND GUS GREENBAUM 3/19 or 20/52

Greenspun testified that in the late afternoon of March 20, 1952, he and Benjamin Binion called on Gus Greenbaum, President of the Flamingo Hotel, for the purpose of asking for a contribution to the Boy Scouts. Greenspun's testimony thereafter continues in part as follows:

"A. Mr. Binion and I asked Mr. Greenbaum for a contribution to the Boy Scouts and we had gone there for that purpose and Mr. Greenbaum stated, 'Hank, instead of your going out and looking for contributions to the Boy Scouts, what are you trying to do to us?' I said, 'I am not trying to do anything to you.' He said 'You will ruin us.' I said, 'I don't understand, Gus, in what way?' He said, 'By attacking the Senator.' I said, 'What has that got to do with you?' He said, 'Benny, did you ever talk to him about it?' Mr. Binion says, 'Yes, I have been pleading with him for the last two days to stop it, Gus,' and Gus asked Mr. Binion if he got any calls and he said, 'They are burning up the wires' and Gus said, 'The same here.'..... He said, 'They are driving us crazy from Washington.'....."

(Pages 94, 95)

In respect to this alleged conversation Gus Greenbaum, President, Flamingo, Inc., testified in part as follows:

"Q. Now calling your attention to this meeting, I would like to ask you, Mr. Greenbaum if you made this statement: 'Hank, instead of going out and looking for contributions for the Boy Scouts, what are you trying to do to us.' Do you recall ever making that statement?"

"A. Not in that phrase, no. I made some statement to Hank but not that phraseology."

"Q. Do you recall making the statement, 'You will ruin us.'"

"A. 'No, no.'"

62-97007-65
.....ENCLOSURE.....

COPIES DESTROYED
20 5 DEC 3 1964

"Q. Relate the conversation."

"A. I had a conversation with Mr. Greenspun prior to this, four or five days before, and I asked him, 'Why don't you stop and try to get along with everybody' and that was about the substance of the conversation.".....

"Q. What day did that conversation take place?"

"A. The 19th of March."

.....

"Q. Was Senator McCarran's name discussed at all at that meeting of the 19th?"

"A. 'No Sir.'"

(Pages 434, 436, 447)

ALLEGED MEETING MARCH 22, 1952, AT
EITHER FLAMINGO HOTEL OR DESERT INN

Greenspun testified that following the cancellation of the advertising by various gambling clubs on March 24, 1952, he proceeded to the Desert Inn in search of Moe Dalitz, one of the major owners. He said he found Dalitz on the golf course behind the Inn with [redacted]. He testified a conversation ensued (to be discussed in more detail under the caption: "Conversation between H. M. Greenspun and Moe B. Dalitz, 3/24/52") in which Dalitz allegedly mentioned a meeting at the Flamingo Hotel on or about March 22, 1952. The pertinent testimony of Greenspun in this connection is as follows:

"Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Dalitz any meetings of the representatives of the clubs?"

b6
b7c

"A. I did."

"Q. Who was at the meeting, did Mr. Dalitz say who was there?"

"A. Yes, he said Marion Hicks, [redacted] Gus Greenbaum, I believe he said [redacted] I wouldn't be certain."

"Q. What further facts did he state concerning the circumstances of that meeting?"

"A. He said Marion Hicks had told him that the old man had called and that he wanted them to withdraw all support from the Sun."

(Pages 67, 68, 69)

Moe B. Dalitz, Vice-President, Wilbur Clark's Desert Inn Company, gave his version of his conversation with Greenspun in the following testimony:

"Q. Mr. Dalitz, I will ask you whether you did or did not state to Mr. Greenspun that there was a meeting held at the Flamingo Hotel and the pressure was put on you by Senator McCarran to stop advertising in the Sun?"

"A. I did not."

"Q. I will ask you whether you did or did not state to Mr. Greenspun that Marion Hicks had told you that the 'old man' had called and that he wanted them to withdraw all support from the Sun."

"A. No, I did not."

"Q. I will ask you if you attended a meeting at the Flamingo Hotel where Marion Hicks or anyone else made any such statement."

"A. I did not."

"Q. I will ask you if you attended a meeting any place where anyone other than Marion Hicks made a similar statement?"

"A. I did not."

(Pages 406, 407)

Marion B. Hicks, one of the managing owners of the Thunderbird Hotel, testified as follows with respect to the above-mentioned conversation allegedly held between Greenspun and Dalitz:

"Q. Did you at any time prior to March 22, 1952, receive any communication or phone call from Senator McCarran, where he requested you to seek the resort hotels in Las Vegas and the downtown casinos in Las Vegas and see if they would withdraw their advertising from the Las Vegas Morning Sun."

"A. I did not."

"Q. Did you, either prior to March 22 or after March 22 tell anyone that Senator McCarran had contacted you and had requested that you withdraw the advertising of the Thunderbird Hotel from the Las Vegas Morning Sun?"

"A. No. "

(Page 459)

CONVERSATION WITH FRED SOLY 3/24/52

Following the curtailment and in some instances the cancellation of advertising by the Las Vegas resort hotels and gambling casinos in the Las Vegas Sun, Greenspun testified that he called Fred Soly, principal owner of the Monte Carlo Club and a representative of the Downtown Gambling Association comprised of the following casinos: Golden Nugget, Frontier, California, Pioneer, Westerner, Las Vegas, Boulder and Horse Shoe. Greenspun's testimony in this regard is as follows:

"A. I called Mr. Soly. I asked him, 'What is going on, Fred.' He says, 'Please don't ask me any questions, Hank.' I said, 'Why did you cancel your advertising? Did I do something wrong?' He said, 'Hank, I can't answer any questions. You have enough friends in the gambling business, they will tell you. Please don't ask. I can't answer.'.....

(Page 45)

Fred Soly, President of the Monte Carlo Club after testifying as to his version of a meeting at the Golden Nugget at 4 A.M. on March 23, 1952, stated he called the Sun and informed of the decision of the group which he represented, namely, the Downtown Gambling Association, to curtail its advertising. He testified that on the afternoon of March 24, 1952, he received a call from Greenspun and his version of the conversation which ensued is as follows:

"A.He called me sometime in the afternoon, I would say about 3 o'clock and said, 'What's going on, Fred?' and I said, 'What do you mean?' He said, 'Why did you cancel the downtown ad?' I said, 'I didn't cancel it, I reduced it according to the decision that was reached in the meeting,' and then he said, 'Why did you cancel the Monte Carlo Club ad?' I said, 'Because I didn't want it.'

.....

"Q. Didn't you, on that occasion, say to Mr. Greenspun, 'Don't ask me Hank, I can't answer you. You have plenty of friends among the gambling business, they will tell you.'"

"A. 'No, I did not,'"

CONVERSATION BETWEEN H. M. GREENSPUN
AND MOE B. DALITZ, 3/24/52

After the telephone conversation with Fred Soly in which Soly is alleged to have suggested to Greenspun that he obtain information from others, Greenspun said he drove to the Desert Inn where he found Moe Dalitz, the President of this casino, on the golf course with [redacted]. A part of this alleged conversation with Dalitz concerning an earlier meeting at either the Flamingo Hotel or the Desert Inn on March 22, 1952, has been discussed previously. The other matters deemed pertinent which were discussed at the meeting on March 24, 1952, on the golf course according to Greenspun's testimony were the following:

b6
b7c

"A. I went out on the golf course, which is directly behind the hotel. I drove over to where Mr. Dalitz was standing and [redacted] got out of the car and as Mr. Dalitz saw me he grabbed himself by the head. I said, 'What is going on, Moe?' He said, 'Looks like all hell broke loose.' I said, 'Why?' He said, 'Why did you have to attack the old man?'"

"Q. Did you continue with that conversation?"

"A. I said, 'What is behind it, Moe?' He said, 'Why did you have to attack the old man?' I said, 'What business is that of the hotel?....' He said, 'I know but you put me in a terrible position.' I said, 'What position did I put you in? Why did it affect you?' He said, 'You know as well as I do that we have to do what he tells us.' I said, 'I don't know why you have to do what he tells you, that is what I don't understand.' He said, 'You know he got us our licenses.' He says, 'If we don't go along you know what is going to happen to us.'.....

"Q. Do you know to what licenses he referred?"

"A. Gambling license for the Desert Inn."

(Pages 47, 48, 49)

Mr. Moe B. Dalitz testified as to his recollection of the conversation with Greenspun on March 24, 1952, on the golf course behind the Desert Inn. He said he was playing golf with

[redacted] but that they had walked off to one side and were not present during his conversation with Greenspun. Dalitz' testimony is quoted in part as follows:

b6
b7C

"Q. Mr. Dalitz, I will ask you when Mr. Greenspun first approached you whether you stated: 'It looks like all hell broke loose. Why did you have to attack the old man?' Did you or did you not make such a statement?"

"A. I did not."

"Q. Did you, or did you not, on referring to Senator McCarran, state to Mr. Greenspun, 'You know as well as I do that we have to do what he tells us?'"

"A. I did not."

"Q. Did you, or did you not referring again to Senator McCarran, state to Mr. Greenspun, 'You know he got us our licenses. If we don't go along, you know what is going to happen to us?'"

"A. I did not.

.....

"Q. Did you ask Senator McCarran for assistance in connection with obtaining your state gambling license?"

"A. No."

(Pages 404, 405, 406)

MEETING IN THE MAYOR'S OFFICE 3/26/52

It appears from the testimony that following the curtailment and cancellation of the advertising by the resort hotels and the gambling casinos in the Las Vegas Sun on March 24, 1952, Greenspun wrote a column in his paper discussing the joint action of these clubs and commenting upon the freedom of the press. Thereafter Mr. C. D. Baker, Mayor of the City of Las Vegas called a meeting in his office on March 26, 1952, which was attended by Fred Soly, President of the Monte Carlo Club, [redacted] of the Boulder Club, and H. M. Greenspun. b6
Sometime after the meeting got underway, Lt. Governor Clifford A. Jones of the State of Nevada and who has interests in one or more of the resort hotels, put in an appearance and participated in the conference, although he apparently was not invited. The details of this meeting as described by Greenspun are set forth in the following testimony: b7C

"A. I walked over to the City Hall in the afternoon, I believe the 26th of March. I happened to look into the mayor's office and Fred Soly and [redacted] were in the mayor's office and I just stuck my head in and the mayor motioned me to come in and sit down, which I did. A few minutes later Clifford Jones walked in, Lt. Governor, and he sat down and the mayor asked Mr. Soly and [redacted] if they had received a call--if they had cancelled their advertising as a result of pressure from Washington and at that time Lt. Gov. Jones spoke up and he said he understood it was for economic reasons and Mr. Soly stated to the effect, 'No, sure it was McCarran', told that to the mayor in my presence. b6
b7C

"Q. What exactly did he say?"

"A. He said, 'I hope Hank doesn't feel ill toward me.' He says, 'we have been friends' and he said, 'I hope we stay friends, but we couldn't help ourselves.'"

"Q. Who said this?"

"A. Fred Soly, and [redacted] spoke up." b6
b7C

"Q. Who is [redacted]"

"A. [redacted] is owner of the El Cortez Hotel, not involved here in any way; also one of the partners at the Boulder Club. [redacted] told the mayor in my presence that when his partners came back from the meeting at the Golden Nugget and told him what had gone on, he said, 'We mustn't cancel our advertising.' He says he doesn't agree with what I say. He said I should not have attacked McCarran, but he said nevertheless it is my property and it is my business. He also stated that he, himself, was not what is known as a McCarran man, he is more a Pittman man. He said every time the old man ran he said, 'I gave him free lodging and rooms at the hotel for six months and his staff.' He said, 'I got the boys to contribute to his campaign because he could help us', he said, 'but after he was elected we discharged our obligation to him. It was then incumbent on him to help us instead of getting us into the damnest mess we have ever been in.' The mayor at that time said, 'In other words, it was McCarran that ordered it', and he said, 'I won't stand for it. No man from Washington, or some convict out on the Strip is going to tell the people in the city what they can do and what they can't do.' He said, 'Myself and the commissioners of the city were elected to run this city and nobody in Washington was elected to run the city,' he said, 'and if you fellows want war, we will give it to you.' He was talking to Mr. Soly and [redacted] There was a lot of other discussion and the mayor told them, he says, 'This thing should be straightened out and straightened out immediately because---'"

b6
b7C

(Pages 57, 58)

Mayor C. D. Baker of the City of Las Vegas outlined the fact that he had invited Soly, [redacted] and Greenspun to attend a meeting in his office and when asked to state the conversation which occurred testified in part as follows:

b6
b7C

"A." Knowing Mr. Soly was the chairman of the downtown club group, I asked him a few questions. I asked him if there had been a meeting between the representatives of the downtown clubs and the Resort Hotels Association. I asked him if at that meeting there had been discussed a telephone call from Washington.

"Q. What did he say?"

"A. He said there had been a meeting and that in the meeting there was a discussion of this telephone call."

"Q. And what else was said during that conversation?"

"A. I said to Mr. Soly, 'If it is true that a meeting was held and that representation was made indicating a coalition of the downtown group and Strip hotels, that no one from Washington and no ex-convict from the Strip could dictate the policies of the City of Las Vegas or ruin any legitimate business here; that I thought if there was in existence such a coalition, that it smacked of monopoly, direction, and that they were making a mistake to allow themselves to become involved in something that from a public standpoint would indicate a political dictation or control that would be inimical to their own interests, as well as that of the city.'

"Q. What further conversation took place?"

"A. After the admission that there was such a meeting, that there was influence brought to bear to urge the decision, I said it was not only a monopoly so far as controlling the press but the control that might extend to their own business that would make them very watchful of arousing public sentiment against the industry, a large portion of the city's income was from licensed gambling."

The Mayor thereafter indicated that during this conference it was brought out by someone present that the action in cancelling the advertising was not motivated by economy. His testimony thereafter is as follows:

"Q. Did Mr. Soly, during the course of that conversation tell you what it was that prompted this motive?"

"A. Except the admission that the action was taken as the result of a purported telephone conversation."

"Q. From where?"

"A. From Washington."

The Mayor was thereafter questioned as to whether [redacted] made any statement during the course of the meeting and testified as follows:

b6
b7c

"A. As near as I remember he said, 'He wasn't at the meeting', but when he heard about it, he said 'This is about to get us in the biggest mess we have ever been in and I would like to see the thing straightened out.'

"Q. Do you remember any further statement that was made by him?".....

"A. He said 'If Senator McCarran has entered into this picture, I, for one, feel I have discharged my obligation to him, when I supplied the campaign headquarters and food for him the last campaign. I think he should be doing something for us instead of trying to hurt us.'

Later on Mayor Baker was called as a rebuttal witness for the plaintiff and was asked:

"Q. Did you direct a question to Mr. Soly at the same time during the discussion to this effect: 'Well, was it pressure from Washington or was it an economy reason?' or substantially those words."

"A. Substantially, yes."

"Q. And what was his reply?"

"A. So far as pressure from Washington, he admitted it. He didn't deny it. So far as the economy angle, he^{6a10} that wasn't the case."

(Pages 3, 4, 7, 12, 13 and 488)

[redacted] testified he received a call from the Mayor in the latter part of March and when he arrived at the City Hall Greenspun and Soly who had also been summoned by the Mayor were already present. He testified as to the introductory remarks by the Mayor relative to the cancellation of advertising in the Las Vegas Sun and said the Mayor stated he would not allow the city to be run by a bunch of gamblers or any one person from Washington. [redacted] said he informed the Mayor he was not at the meeting and knew nothing about any calls from Washington. He said Soly did not say anything in his presence. [redacted] said he did not like Greenspun's criticism of the Senator whom he admired and for whom he furnished campaign headquarters in the last election.

b6
b7c

(Pages 15, 16)

Fred Soly testified relative to his call from the Mayor and the conference which ensued thereafter. The conference it will be recalled was attended by the Mayor, Soly, Greenspun, [redacted] and later by Lieutenant Governor Jones. Pertinent testimony is as follows:

"Q. What occurred after you all four were together." b6 b7C

"A. The Mayor said that he had heard that there was some pressure from Washington to boycott the Las Vegas Sun by the gambling industry and that it was his duty, as Mayor, to do everything he possibly could so the gambling industry did not run this town or any one man from Washington."

Thereafter Soly testified he stated the gamblers had never tried to run the town and said 'I don't know of any pressure on any of them.'....."

His testimony continues:

"Q. What did he say to that?"

"A. Then for the first time I heard of this telephone conversation from Washington by Mr. Greenspun.

"Q. You mean that is the first time you heard it from Mr. Greenspun?"

"A. That is the first time I heard it from any one."

"Q. The first time you ever heard anything about the Washington telephone call?"

"A. That's right. And it seems to me that the mayor asked me if I knew anything about this telephone conversation Mr. Greenspun was talking about and I said no. As I remember, Mr. Greenspun kept on talking about this telephone conversation and this cancellation of the advertising and he made the remark, in front of the mayor and [redacted] he said: 'I don't need the advertising from the gambling industry. I might not even accept it at \$10 an inch now', and he added to me, 'Tomorrow you will see the biggest paper ever published in southern Nevada, without a single gambling ad.' I think the mayor went on to kind of repeat the same thing two or three times about running the town and interference from Washington and that is just about all I said." b6 b7C

"Q. What was said by [redacted]

b6
b7C

"A. [redacted] said that he had supported Senator McCarran and had furnished headquarters for his campaign and he had done enough for him and he felt it was his duty to do something for us. That was when Mr. Greenspun mentioned the fact that we were afraid of Senator McCarran...."

.....

"Q. Before we go beyond that point, I want to ask you this one question further. Did you at any time during the meeting state: 'Of course it wasn't for economic reasons. We all know it was because of pressure from Washington?'"

"A. No, definitely no."

(Pages 73, 74, 76, 79)

The Attorney General

August 5, 1952

Director, FBI

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;

H. M. GREENSPUN, doing business
under the firm name and style of
LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF, vs.

PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL - DEFENDENTS;

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1002,

U. S. DISTRICT COURT,

DISTRICT OF NEVADA,

PRAJURY

In your memorandum of July 14, 1952, you asked that a copy of the record in the captioned civil action be obtained and forwarded to you. My memorandum dated July 22, 1952, transmitted to you Photostats of copies of the transcripts of hearings and arguments in this matter which were obtained from Senator McCarran.

Some of the points of disagreement which have been noted in the transcript of testimony are as follows:

1. Greenspun testified that on either March 19, or 20, 1952, he had a conversation with Gus Greenbaum, President of the Flamingo Hotel. Greenspun alleges that in that conversation Greenbaum said in effect that his attacks on the Senator would ruin the gambling industry (Pages 94, 95). Greenbaum, in his testimony, categorically denied making any such statements, or that Senator McCarran's name was mentioned (Pages 434, 436, 447).

2. Greenspun testified that he was told by Mos B. Dalitz of the Desert Inn that a meeting took place on or about March 22, 1952, in which Marion Hicks of the Thunderbird Hotel reported a telephone conversation he had had with Senator McCarran in which the Senator instructed the gambling interests to withdraw all support from the Sun (Pages 57, 63, 69). Dalitz

cc: 1-Mr. Nichols

RECORDED 135

AUG 21 1952

100

MAILED 10
AUG 7 1952
COMM-FBI

EFK:jme

66 AUG 26 1952!

RECEIVED ROOM 03 PM '52
AUG 7

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

62-97007-66

Handwritten signatures and initials

categorically denied making the statements attributed to him by Greenspun (Pages 406, 407). Marion Hicks denied that he had ever received a call from Senator McCarran in which he was requested to withdraw advertising support from the Sun (Page 459).

3. Greenspun testified that a considerable percentage of the advertising placed with the Sun by the resort hotels and gambling casinos was suddenly withdrawn or curtailed by a series of telephone calls received on March 24, 1952. He said that in an effort to determine the reason for this action he telephoned Fred Soly, principal owner of the Monte Carlo Club and a representative of the Downtown Gambling Association, who refused to answer any question and indicated that Greenspun had enough friends in the gambling business to find out the answer (Page 45). Soly categorically denied making the statements attributed to him by Greenspun (Pages 65, 70).

4. Greenspun testified that after his telephone conversation with Soly he went over to the Desert Inn where he conferred with Moe Dalitz. He said that Dalitz in effect criticized him for attacking the Senator in his newspaper and indicated that the gambling interests would have to do what he told them to do. It is indicated in this testimony that Dalitz was obligated to Senator McCarran because he assisted the casino in obtaining a gambling license (Pages 47, 48, 49). Dalitz denied each statement attributed to him by Greenspun and stated positively that he did not seek any assistance from Senator McCarran in obtaining a state gambling license for the casino (Pages 404, 405, 406).

5. Following the cancellation and curtailment of the advertising in the Las Vegas Sun it appears that Greenspun wrote a strong editorial condemning the joint action of the gambling interests. Based upon this editorial and perhaps upon conversations with Greenspun, Mayor C. D. Baker of the City of Las Vegas called a meeting in his office on March 26, 1952.

This was attended by the Mayor, Greenspan, Soly, [redacted] and later by Lieutenant Governor Clifford A. Jones. Greenspan and the Mayor testified as to admissions made by Soly and [redacted] relative to the fact that a telephone call from Washington had prompted the action of the gambling casinos in withdrawing the advertising (Pages 3, 4, 7, 12, 13, 57, 58, 68). Soly denied making any such statements (Pages 73, 74, 76, 79) and [redacted] testified no such statements were made in his presence (Pages 15, 16).

b6
b7c

The above points are being set forth for your information in connection with the transcripts previously furnished to you at your request.

You will recall that my memorandum dated July 31, 1952, informed you that 32 miscellaneous pleadings, which are a part of the official court record in this case and which were not forwarded to you with the above-mentioned transcripts, were being sent by the Office of the United States Clerk of Court, Carson City, Nevada, to the Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, where the entire record will be printed. A copy of this printed record, which will include these 32 miscellaneous pleadings, will be obtained as soon as it is available and it will be sent to you immediately upon receipt.

cc: 2-Assistant Attorney General
. James M. McInerney

cc: Mr. Ladd
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Winter
Mr. Malley
Mr. Pennington
Mr. Kieffer

The Attorney General

July 31, 1952

Director, FBI

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENBERG, doing business
under the firm name and style of
LAS VEGAS BUN - PLAINTIFF, vs.
PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL - DEFENDANTS;
CIVIL ACTION NO. 1002,
U. S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEVADA.
PERJURY

Reference is made to my memorandum dated July 22, 1952, in which you were informed that Photostats of the transcripts which were forwarded to you therewith were being checked against the official court record to determine whether they were complete.

This comparison has been made and it was determined that these transcripts represent the complete court record with the exception of thirty-two miscellaneous pleadings consisting of approximately two hundred and fifty pages. Copies of these pleadings were not available at the office of the U. S. Clerk of Court, Carson City, Nevada; however, it was determined that the entire record is being forwarded immediately to the Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, and it will be printed within two weeks after its arrival in San Francisco. According to the Clerk of Court, the complete record, in printed form, will be available then.

We are making arrangements to have a copy of this printed record obtained as soon as it is available and it will be sent to you immediately upon receipt.

cc: 2 - Assistant Attorney General
James M. McInerney

Mr. Nichols RECORDED - 48

62-97007

EPK:amb

- Tolson
- Ladd
- Nichols
- Belmont
- Clegg
- Glavin
- Harbo
- Rosen
- Tracy
- Laughlin
- Mohr
- Tele. Rm.
- Holloman
- Gandy

JUL 31 6 42 PM '52
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

MAILED 10
AUG 1 1952
COMM-FBI

RECEIVED
JUL 31 6 02 PM '52
FBI ROOM

62-97007-67
62-97007-67
Handwritten signatures and initials

66 AUG 12 1952

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

0-9a

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION. AUGUST 27, 1952

DEFERRED

Transmit the following message to: SAC, SALT LAKE CITY

UNSUBS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, PERJURY. SUTEL STATUS.
EXPEDITE.

HOOVER

AJM:DC

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

RECORDED - 16

EX-32

AUG 28 1952

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

AUG 27 1952



RECEIVED TELE. ROOM
AUG 27 12:38 PM '52

62 SEP 10 1952

SENT VIA _____

COPIES DESTROYED
20 DEC 9 1964

Per _____



Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Egan	_____
Mr. Gurnea	_____
Mr. Hendon	_____
Mr. Pennington	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Mr. Nease	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

[Handwritten signature across the routing slip]

[Handwritten stamp: G. L. ...]

WASH 22 SF 5 FROM SALT LAKE CITY VIA LOSA 27 3XX 4-31 PM

DIRECTOR AND SAC SAN FRANCISCO URGENT

4-1

UNSUBS, HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, PERJURY. REBUTEL TODAY. MARIAN
 OSMAN, DEPUTY CLERK OF COURT, U. S. DISTRICT COURT, CARSON CITY,
 NEVADA, ADVISES NO RECORD OF ANY ACTION IN U. S. DISTRICT COURT, CARSON
 CITY THIS CASE SINCE RECORDS OF PROCEEDINGS FORWARDED TO CIRCUIT COURT
 OF APPEALS, SAN FRANCISCO. SAN FRANCISCO REQUESTED DETERMINE PRESENT
 STATUS THIS CASE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, SAN FRANCISCO AND SUTEL STATUS
 TO BUREAU. EXPEDITE.

[Handwritten mark]

y

F 158



RECORDED - 100

162-99007-69
[Handwritten signature]

BROWN SEP 2 1952

68 SEP 15 1952 EX - 73

BOTH HOLD



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
 U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

SEP 28 1952

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

[Handwritten signature/initials over the routing slip]

WASHINGTON 5 22
 DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM SFRAN
 U R G E N T

8-28-52 12-53 PM

ELH

[Handwritten initials]

[Handwritten initials]

UNSUBS, HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, PERJURY. RE SLC TEL TWENTY SEVENTH
 INST. NO CHANGE IN STATUS FROM THAT REPORTED MYLET EIGHTEENTH LAST.

ABBATICCHIO
 RECORDED - 126

ENLD PLS

62-97007-70
 SEP 3 1952

Fig 7192
 66 SEP 17 1952

[Handwritten signature/initials]

4712

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

7-1

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT

WASHINGTON FIELD

FILE NO.

REPORT MADE AT WASHINGTON, D. C.	DATE WHEN MADE 8/8/52	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 8/6,7/52	REPORT MADE BY JOSEPH A. GENAU(A) JAG:fah
TITLE HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN alias Hank Greenspun		CHARACTER OF CASE BRIBERY	
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:		AGENCY <i>Photo Lab</i> REQ. REC'D <i>3-8-55</i> REP'T FORW. <i>3-18-55</i> BY <i>mmg/ym</i>	
<i>1 cc add Murray 8/13/52</i> <i>1 cc to AS 9/23/52</i> <i>1 - photostat to P. Allen 11/19/53 see 73 1/2030</i> <i>1 cc add Murray 8/13/52 gbs</i>		SETH S. POPE, Administrative Assistant to Mr. JESS LARSON, Administrator, Defense Procurement Agency, informed that about April or May, 1951, when he was Administrative Assistant to Mr. JESS LARSON Administrator, General Services Administration, he accompanied HANK GREENSPUN from the office of [redacted] to the lobby of the Hotel Mayflower where GREENSPUN informed him that he would be willing to give him, POPE, "25 Grand" or "25 G's" to anyone POPE suggested or named to have an indictment against him in California quashed; whereupon, he, POPE, informed GREENSPUN he could not handle anything like that; that GREENSPUN at this time exhibited a "Life magazine" reflecting a double page of pictures of the "Desert Inn" in Las Vegas, Nevada, with GREENSPUN's picture and pictures of 14 or 15 girls employed at the Desert Inn; that GREENSPUN informed him that if he, POPE, came to Las Vegas he would furnish him, POPE, with a "stake" meaning moneys to gamble with on roulette wheels and slot machines and he, POPE, could have his pick of any one of the 14 or 15 girls; that GREENSPUN informed him that he was Public	
APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>R. B. Woody</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT (3) - Bureau 2 - Washington Field (58-402)		62-97007-77 AUG 12 1952 <i>J. J. [signature]</i> <i>J. S. [signature]</i> RECORDED - 100 EX - 73	

b6
b7c

WFO 58-402

Relations Officer for the "Desert Inn". POPE stated he has never been to Las Vegas, Nevada. On 9-28-49 GREENSPUN was indicted by a Federal Grand Jury at Los Angeles, California, for conspiracy to violate the Neutrality and Export Control Acts. He entered a plea of guilty on 7-10-50 and on 7-17-50 he was sentenced to pay a fine of \$10,000. On 4-6-49 GREENSPUN was indicted by a Federal Grand Jury at Los Angeles, California, on a charge to violate the Neutrality and Export Control Acts, and on 2-6-50 GREENSPUN was acquitted. [redacted]

b6
b7c

[redacted] states SETH S. POPE and GREENSPUN visited his office about a year and a half ago and GREENSPUN told him about a criminal case he was involved in, in Los Angeles, California, and there was a second indictment against him. [redacted] stated no conversation between POPE and GREENSPUN took place in his office where GREENSPUN is alleged to have offered POPE \$25,000 to settle an indictment against him in California. [redacted]

[redacted] is a friend of [redacted] and Mr. POPE.

C

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

On August 6 and 7, 1952, the writer contacted Mr. SETH S. POPE, Administrative Assistant to Mr. JESS LARSON, Administrator, Defense Materials Procurement Agency, Room 7302, General Services Administration Building, who informed that about April or May, 1951, when he was Administrative Assistant to Mr. JESS LARSON, Administrator, General Services Administration, he received a long-distance telephone call in the late afternoon either at his office or at his home from either Los Angeles or San Francisco, California, from a man who identified

WFO 58-402

himself, he thought, as "HANK GREENSPUN"; that GREENSPUN said he wanted to talk to him about some matters as he thought he, POPE, could be of some help to him, GREENSPUN. Mr. POPE stated he told GREENSPUN he could not be of any help to him and GREENSPUN replied that he would be in Washington, D. C., tomorrow; that the next day after this conversation GREENSPUN telephonically contacted him at his office in the morning from some hotel in Washington, D. C., he thinks the Mayflower, and judging from GREENSPUN's conversation he thought GREENSPUN needed an attorney and he requested GREENSPUN to meet him [redacted]

[redacted] that shortly after this telephone conversation with GREENSPUN he went to [redacted] office and met GREENSPUN for the first time; [redacted] he learned for the first time that GREENSPUN was under federal indictment in California, and GREENSPUN stated that he was a New York lawyer and if convicted he would lose his citizenship and license to practice law. Mr. POPE stated that he told GREENSPUN in [redacted] presence that if he needed [redacted] that he thinks GREENSPUN discussed his case [redacted] and [redacted] informed GREENSPUN that his firm could not handle his case as everything was in California; that this is about all he remembers about the conversation [redacted]

b6
b7c

[redacted] Mr. POPE stated that while in [redacted] office he sensed that GREENSPUN wanted to talk to him privately. Mr. POPE stated that he and GREENSPUN left [redacted] and proceeded to the lobby of the Hotel Mayflower where GREENSPUN stated he would be willing to give him, POPE, "25 Grand" or "25 G'S" or to anyone he, POPE, suggested or named to have the indictment against him in California quashed; whereupon, he, POPE, stated he informed GREENSPUN he could not handle anything like that and this ended their conference in the lobby of the Hotel Mayflower; that GREENSPUN departed, stating he was going to New York City; that this was the last he saw or heard of GREENSPUN. Mr. POPE further stated that during his conversation with GREENSPUN in the lobby of the Hotel Mayflower, GREENSPUN had in his possession a "Life Magazine" containing a double page of pictures of the "Desert Inn" in Las Vegas, Nevada, with GREENSPUN's picture and the

WFO 58-402

pictures of 14 or 15 girls employed at the Desert Inn; that GREENSPUN informed him that if he, POPE, came to Las Vegas he would furnish him with a "stake" meaning moneys to gamble with on the roulette wheels and slot machines and that he, POPE, could have his pick of any one of the 14 or 15 girls whose pictures were in Life Magazine. Mr. POPE stated that GREENSPUN informed him that he was the Public Relations Officer for the "Desert Inn". Mr. POPE informed the writer that he has never been in Las Vegas, Nevada.

In addition thereto, Mr. POPE stated that during his conversation with GREENSPUN in the lobby of the Hotel Mayflower GREENSPUN informed that he and his associate were negotiating for a newspaper in Las Vegas or Reno, Nevada, and if they got the newspaper they would be powerful in the state of Nevada; that several months later he was reading a Washington newspaper, he thinks the Washington Post, and noticed an article which reflected that GREENSPUN was suing Senator McCARRAN of Nevada for one million dollars, and that the article stated that GREENSPUN owned a newspaper in Reno or Las Vegas, Nevada.

Mr. POPE stated that a friend of his in California was in conversation with GREENSPUN and this friend mentioned his name to GREENSPUN, and GREENSPUN apparently thought that he, POPE, could help him with regard to the indictment. Mr. POPE stated he would prefer not to divulge his friend's name in California as he does not want to get an innocent person involved. Mr. POPE was asked if JACK DEMPSEY referred GREENSPUN to him, and he replied that JACK DEMPSEY, the former world heavyweight champion, is a friend of his and he does not want JACK DEMPSEY's name brought into this matter.

Mr. POPE stated he did not report the above matter to his superior officers or the Department of Justice for the reason there was too much scandal going on at the time.

Mr. POPE also informed that several months ago when he was reading a Washington newspaper, he thinks the

WFO 58-402

Washington Post. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] dropped into his office and at this time he noticed in the newspaper that GREENSPUN was suing Senator McCARRAN for one million dollars, and he casually mentioned to [REDACTED] that GREENSPUN had asked him, POPE, to help him with regard to an indictment in California and offered him "25 Grand" or "25 G'S" and he refused to help GREENSPUN in any manner. Mr. POPE informed that [REDACTED] is a friend of Senator McCARRAN, and apparently [REDACTED] reported instant matter to the Senator.

b6
b7c

The writer asked Mr. POPE if he would prepare a signed statement covering the above, and he stated that he preferred not to but that he will cooperate with the government in this case.

On the afternoon of August 7, 1952, the writer read the above statement to Mr. POPE, and he stated it was true and correct.

It is a noted fact that HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, who is also known as HANK GREENSPUN and who resided in Las Vegas, Nevada, was indicted on September 28, 1949, by a Federal Grand Jury at Los Angeles, California, for conspiracy to violate the Neutrality Act and the Export Control Act; Also, that GREENSPUN was born in Brooklyn, New York, on August 27, 1909, and was graduated from St. John's College Law School in 1934 with an LLB Degree and was admitted to practice in the state of New York on November 17, 1937. Subject GREENSPUN, on July 10, 1950, entered a plea of guilty to the above indictment, charging conspiracy to violate the Neutrality Act in the attempted shipment in March, 1948, of airplane engines and machine gun parts from Wilmington, California, to Mexico where they were to be transhipped to Israel, and on July 17, 1950, he was sentenced to pay a fine of \$10,000.

It is also a fact that GREENSPUN was indicted by a Federal Grand Jury at Los Angeles, California,

WFO 58-402

on April 6, 1949, on charges to violate the Neutrality and Export Control Acts in his attempt to transport 42 airplane engines from California to Panama in March, 1948, and on February 6, 1950, he was acquitted.

On August 7, 1952, the writer contacted [redacted]

b6
b7c

[redacted] who furnished the writer with the following signed memorandum dated August 7, 1952, which is herewith quoted:

"About a year and a half ago a Mr. GREENSPUN of Las Vegas, Nevada called me on the telephone in Washington, and told me that he was a friend of JACK DEMPSEY (former heavyweight champ) or MAX WAXMAN (DEMPSEY's Manager) or both, and that they had suggested that he come in to see me about a case involving himself.

"As I explained to [redacted] on July 17, 1952, when he interviewed me in the presence of one of my partners, [redacted] and also to JOSEPH A. GENAU of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, JACK DEMPSEY and I have been intimate friends for many years. I have also acted on occasion as his legal adviser. Likewise, MAX WAXMAN and I have been close friends for many years, and I have also done legal work for him in connection with matters that he has referred to me as an attorney. I have also done considerable work for people who were recommended to me by JACK DEMPSEY.

b6
b7c

"I recall that when Mr. GREENSPUN came to my office he told me about a criminal case that he had been involved in, in Los Angeles, California which involved an indictment for shipping some arms and ammunition to the Israel Government. My recollection is that he also told me that there was a second indictment pending against him, and he felt that the Government was using practically the same evidence against him the second time as was used in the first case, and I think he said that he thought the second prosecution was unfair. After listening to his story I felt it was the type of case in which this office could not be of assistance, and I told him that. During our conversation he either asked me if I knew a SETH POPE or he called a Mr. SETH POPE from my office, or

WFO 58-402

POPE called him at my office. I am not positive which statement is correct, but at any rate POPE did appear in my office and met GREENSPUN here.

"On July 17 when I was being interviewed by [redacted] of Senator McCARRAN's office he asked me specifically concerning an offer alleged to have been made by GREENSPUN to POPE of \$25,000 in the event that the indictment pending against him in California could be settled. I told [redacted] that if such a conversation took place between GREENSPUN and POPE it did not take place in my presence or in my office. I also told Mr. GENAU today, who is present as I am dictating this statement, that had GREENSPUN made an offer of any money that I thought was improper to anyone, in my presence, I would have immediately told him that we could be no party to any situation like that or I would have asked him to leave my office. I don't recall if GREENSPUN left with POPE or whether POPE left first, but what I have said is substantially what transpired in my office on the occasion when GREENSPUN was here. I have suggested to Mr. GENAU that he contact MAX WAXMAN, who can be located at the present time, I understand, at the Beverly-Wilshire Hotel, Beverly Hills, California where I am informed he is vacationing with his wife. I also suggested that he or some agent in California can contact JACK DEMPSEY, who resides at 1143 Twenty-sixth Street, Santa Monica, California, for further information regarding GREENSPUN and how he happened to come to my office.

b6
b7c

"Mr. GENAU asked me if POPE sent GREENSPUN to my office, and I told him that it could be that POPE also had recommended that he see me knowing that I was a personal friend of JACK DEMPSEY, and had acted as his attorney on many occasions. I also told Mr. GENAU that I had met POPE through JACK DEMPSEY or MAX WAXMAN or both. I believe that I met POPE in New York the first time, probably at Jack Dempsey's restaurant on Broadway or it could have been that I met him in Washington on one of DEMPSEY's visits here. I understood that POPE was connected with the Office of General Services Administration.

"The residence of MAX WAXMAN is the Mayflower

WFO 58-402

Hotel, Central Park West at Sixty-first Street, New York City.

"I informed GREENSPUN, after listening to his case, that I felt that it was a case that this office could not handle or would not want to handle."

The original of the above quoted memorandum is in instant case file in the Washington Field Office.

[redacted] exhibited to the writer a copy of a memorandum dated July 17, 1952, prepared by [redacted] [redacted] a partner in the law firm of Hogan and Hartson, covering an interview had by [redacted] with him, [redacted] in the presence of [redacted] which he permitted the writer to copy, and it is herewith quoted:

[redacted] called at the office today and interviewed [redacted] in my presence. He asked several questions concerning a conference which was held by Mr. SIRICA with Mr. GREENSPUN of Las Vegas, Nevada about a year or a year and a half ago during a part of which time Mr. SETH POPE was present. He inquired specifically concerning an offer alleged to have been made by Mr. GREENSPUN to Mr. POPE of \$25,000.00 in the event that the indictment then pending against him in California could be settled. [redacted] informed [redacted] that if such an offer was made it was not made in his presence; that the gentlemen in question were in his office for a short time only; that Mr. GREENSPUN preceded Mr. POPE at the conference; that they may have left together or separately; but that no such conversation occurred in his presence. [redacted] stated that the matter might be turned over for future investigation to the FBI."

b6
b7c

No further investigation will be conducted by the Washington Field Office unless otherwise instructed by the Bureau.

C

WFO 8/8/52

WFO 58-402

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

The information with regard to HANK GREENSPUN as being identical with subject HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN and that he was indicted on September 28, 1949, by a Federal Grand Jury at Los Angeles, California, for conspiracy to violate the Neutrality and Export Control Acts, etc., was taken from report of SA JOSEPH T. GENCO, dated January 25, 1950, at New York, Pages 12 and 13, in the case of [redacted] Foreign Political Matters - Venezuela - Neutrality Act. (Bureau File #64-20517) (WFO File #109-16).

The information with regard to GREENSPUN's plea of guilty to a charge of conspiracy to violate the Neutrality Act and his sentence on July 17, 1950, was taken from Bureau letter dated July 30, 1952. This investigation was in the case captioned [redacted] [redacted] with aliases, et al, Neutrality Act, Conspiracy".

b6
b7C

The information with regard to GREENSPUN being indicted at Los Angeles, California, on April 6, 1949, on charges of conspiracy to violate the Neutrality and Export Control Acts in the case of "Foundry Associates, Incorporated, et al, Neutrality Act, Conspiracy", was taken from Bureau letter dated July 30, 1952.

REFERENCE: Bureau letter dated July 30, 1952.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. LADD

FROM : A. ROSEN *Rosen*

SUBJECT: HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN
BRIBERY

DATE: August 13, 1952

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

PURPOSE

To report that interview of Seth S. Pope and of attorney John S. Sirica failed to develop any further information in this matter or any information indicative of bribery on the part of Greenspun.

BACKGROUND

Nichols

[redacted] of the McCarran Committee previously supplied information to Assistant Director L. B. Nichols to the effect that about a year ago Herman Greenspun contacted Seth S. Pope of the General Services Administration, at which time Greenspun offered to pay \$25,000 to Pope or any one designated by him to quash an indictment previously filed against Greenspun. It is to be recalled that Greenspun as owner-editor of the Las Vegas Sun is presently engaged in litigation against Senator Pat McCarran, in which Greenspun is suing the Senator for \$1,000,000.

De

It will be recalled that Greenspun was the subject of two Neutrality Act investigations of the Bureau, in one of which captioned [redacted] was., Neutrality Act, Conspiracy," Greenspun on July 10, 1950, entered a plea of guilty to a conspiracy count and on July 17, 1950, he was fined \$10,000. In the second case captioned "Foundry Associates, Inc., et al., Neutrality Act, Conspiracy," he on February 6, 1950, at the USDC, Los Angeles, was acquitted with two of the defendants after a jury trial.

DEVELOPMENTS

266

Washington Field, by report of Special Agent Joseph A. Genau (A), dated August 8, 1952, at Washington, reports that interview was had with Seth S. Pope and with attorney John Sirica. Seth S. Pope is reported as having stated that in April or May of 1951 Greenspun informed him he would be willing to give him "25 Grand" to have an indictment against him in California quashed, whereupon he, Pope, informed Greenspun that he could not handle anything of that nature. Sirica stated that Greenspun visited his

JCS:ige
58-
cc: Mr. Nichols

Attachment 9 1952 *BS*

RECORDED-114

EX-130

SEP 9

162-97007-72
208

JH 4-1

MEMORANDUM TO MR. LADD

office about a year and a half ago and told him about a criminal case in which he was involved in Los Angeles, California, at which time he sought Mr. Sirica's legal assistance. Sirica denied that Greenspun made any improper offer in connection with his indictment and added that he told Greenspun he would have to seek the services of other counsel as he did not desire to handle the case.

RECOMMENDATION

In the absence of any specific information indicative of a Federal violation on the part of Greenspun, it is recommended that the matter be referred to the Criminal Division and that no further action be taken by the Bureau.

Attached for your approval is a memorandum to Assistant Attorney General Charles B. Murray.

V. J. *V. J.*

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd *JL*

FROM : Mr. Rosen *RAM*

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS
H. M. GREENSPUN, et al.
PERJURY

DATE: August 20, 1952

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

3-9

PURPOSE:

To advise of the receipt of an explanation from the Salt Lake City Office relative to its failure to properly inform the Bureau of the existence of pertinent court records in instant matter.

BACKGROUND:

As you will recall a copy of the transcript of a civil case involving captioned individual and Senator McCarran (D-Nev), wherein perjury is alleged, was forwarded to the Salt Lake City Office with instructions for that office to compare the transcript with the official court records to determine whether it was complete. By teletype dated July 30, 1952, the Salt Lake City Office advised that the comparison had been made and the transcript was a complete record of the court proceedings with the exception of 32 miscellaneous pleadings; however, the Salt Lake City Office by letter dated July 22, 1952, received in the Investigative Division on August 5, 1952, forwarded copies of minute orders issued by the court in the civil action. It is to be noted that this was the first notification the Bureau had that these minutes existed and they were not mentioned in the Salt Lake City teletype of July 30, 1952.

By teletype dated August 13, 1952, the Salt Lake City Office was instructed to secure explanations from the responsible personnel concerning the failure of that office to properly inform the Bureau of the existence of the minute orders.

DETAILS:

By memorandum dated August 14, 1952, the Salt Lake City Office forwarded information that Special Agent [redacted] who handled this matter has advised that on July 30, 1952, when he prepared the teletype in question he

b6
b7c

cc: Mr. Glavin

Attachment

AM:BJI
68 SEP 22 1952

RECORDED - 108
EX-83

MEMO TO NEWEN
95-4262-97007
FDH: [initials]
12 SEP 11 1952

la

[Handwritten signature]

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

assumed that the minutes, which he had forwarded to the Bureau by memorandum dated July 22, 1952, were already in the possession of the Bureau and therefore he did not deem it necessary to make mention of them in the teletype.

The Special Agent in Charge of the Salt Lake City Office D. K. Brown recommended that no administrative action be taken in this matter.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the fact that there appears to be some merit to the explanation tendered by the Salt Lake City Office concerning this matter, it is recommended that no administrative action be taken against Special Agent [redacted]. It is recommended, however that a memorandum be forwarded to the personal attention of the Special Agent in Charge of the Salt Lake City Office instructing him that in the future he should exercise every precaution to insure that information contained in correspondence emanating from the Salt Lake City Office be all-inclusive and not misleading.

b6
b7c

SEPTEMBER 4, 1952

SAC, SAN FRANCISCO

DEFERRED
~~URGENT~~

HERMAN GREENSPUN, PERJURY. SUTEL BY NOON YOUR TIME SEPTEMBER
FOUR, DATE WHEN TRANSCRIPT WILL BE OBTAINED.

HOOVER

OFM:bj1

D
R
R
R

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Nease _____

COPIES DESTROYED

20 DEC 3 1954

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP - 4 1952

TELETYPE

RECORDED - 143


EX-129

67-97007-74

SEP 9 1952

SI 25
3 1952

420A
B2



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
 U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 4 1952

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Handwritten initials

Handwritten "Ref-1"

WASHINGTON S 18 FROM SFRAN 9-4-52 11-52 AM *McGee* ELH

DIRECTOR, FBI URGENT

HERMAN GREENSPUN, PERJURY. REURTEL FOURTH INST. RECORD PRESENTLY
 IN HANDS OF PRINTER. DATE OF COMPLETION UNKNOWN BUT BEST ESTIMATE OF
 CIRCUIT COURT CLERK IS SEPT. NINETEEN NEXT.

RECORDED - 143

ABBATICCHIO

62-27007-75

SEP. 9 1952

EX-129

END AND ACK PLS

SF R 14 WA AS

TUVM

F158
 71 SEP 24 1952 *McGee*

cc: Mr. [unclear] [unclear]
6 am

The Attorney General

September 22, 1952

Director, FBI

*my
16*

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENSPUN, DOING BUSINESS
UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE
LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF vs.
PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL - DEFENDANTS;
CIVIL ACTION 1002, U. S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
PERJURY

*jd 4-1
jd 6-1*

Reference is made to my memorandum dated July 31, 1952, in which you were advised that the Bureau was attempting to obtain the complete record in printed form of the captioned civil action.

There is enclosed herewith a copy of the printed record mentioned above. No additional action will be taken in this matter in the absence of a specific request from you.

Enclosure

AJM:DC

MAILED 2
SEP 23 1952
COMM - FBI

RECORDED - 9

162-97007-76

SEP 24 1952
SEP 22 7 11 PM '52

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED DIRECTOR

RECEIVED READING ROOM

SEP 22 6 39 PM '52

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

see

08580

57 SEP 29 1952

Handwritten signatures and initials: Rosen, aym, and others.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INFORMATION
RECORDS SERVICE DIVISION
FILING UNIT

4-47

ROOM 9-29 1952

TO:

<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Tolson	<input type="checkbox"/> Central Hold Desk
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Ladd	<input type="checkbox"/> Classifying Unit
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. H. H. Clegg	<input type="checkbox"/> Consolidation Unit
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Harbo	<input type="checkbox"/> Division
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Glavin	<input type="checkbox"/> File Review and
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Nichols	<input type="checkbox"/> Research Unit
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Rosen	<input type="checkbox"/> General Index
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Tracy	<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Given
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Tamm, Q.	<input type="checkbox"/> Numbering Unit
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr.	<input type="checkbox"/> Personnel Files
	<input type="checkbox"/> Recording Unit
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Trotter	<input type="checkbox"/> Routing
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Eames	<input type="checkbox"/> Service Unit
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Short	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Medler	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Linton	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Marshall	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. May	<input type="checkbox"/> Enclosure Desk
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. O'Connell	<input type="checkbox"/> Miss. Encl. Desk
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Cleaver	<input type="checkbox"/> Publication Files
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Oliver	<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Lawrence
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Runaldue	<input type="checkbox"/> Mrs. Kohl
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. Seyfarth	<input type="checkbox"/> Miss Hartwick
<input type="checkbox"/> Mr.	<i>[Signature]</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Block	<input type="checkbox"/> Index <i>Unit I</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Circle Subj.	<input type="checkbox"/> Initial
<input type="checkbox"/> Corner #	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Card
<input type="checkbox"/> Cross Ref.	<input type="checkbox"/> Number
<input type="checkbox"/> Detached	<input type="checkbox"/> Record
<input type="checkbox"/> Encl. Stamp	<input type="checkbox"/> Route
<input type="checkbox"/> Exp. Processing	<input type="checkbox"/> See Card
<input type="checkbox"/> Handle	

Class file special.

CHECKERS

[Signature]
D. P. LAWRENCE

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd *YON*

DATE: September 22, 1952 ✓

FROM : Mr. Rosen *RAM*

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
H. M. GREENSPUN, DOING BUSINESS
UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE
LAS VEGAS SUN - PLAINTIFF vs.
PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL - DEFENDANTS;
CIVIL ACTION 1002, U. S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
PERJURY

Tolson	_____
Ladd	_____
Clegg	_____
Glavin	_____
Nichols	_____
Rosen	_____
Tracy	_____
Harbo	_____
Belmont	_____
Mohr	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Nease	_____
Gandy	_____

4-1
6-1

By memorandum dated September 19, 1952, the San Francisco Office forwarded the Bureau two copies of the printed record of the captioned civil suit. This printed record was obtained at the request of the Attorney General and is being furnished to him by cover memorandum.

By way of background, in a memorandum dated July 14, 1952, the Attorney General advised that a story had been circulated to the effect that Judge Foley who presided at a civil case involving the Las Vegas Sun vs. Senator Pat McCarran (D. Nev.) made a statement that perjury was committed in the course of the trial. In this memorandum the Attorney General requested the Bureau to conduct four interviews and to obtain a transcript of the civil action. The interviews were conducted but no tangible evidence of perjury was developed. A typewritten transcript was obtained and forwarded to the Attorney General and by memorandum dated July 31, 1952, he was advised that the entire court record in this case was being printed and that as soon as the printing was completed a copy of this record would be obtained and forwarded to him.

ACTION

There is attached herewith for approval a memorandum to the Attorney General transmitting to him a copy of the afore-mentioned printed record and advising that no further action will be taken in this matter in the absence of a specific request from him.

Attachment

cc Mr. Nichols

RAM MJM:DC

Keller

to

RECORDED-39 *162-97007-77*

13 SEP 24 1952

EX. - 73

9-194
66 OCT 7 1952

RAM

L.R.P.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd

FROM : A. Rosen *Rosen*

SUBJECT: HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN
BRIBERY

DATE: September 23, 1952

Tolson

Ladd

Nichols

Belmont

Clegg

Glavin

Harbo

Rosen

Tracy

Mohr

Tele. Rm.

Nease

Gandy

At the Departmental Advisory Staff meeting of September 16, 1952, Assistant Attorney General Murray requested us to determine why Seth S. Pope delayed so long in reporting the information concerning Greenspun's offer of \$25,000 to quash an indictment, and further to identify the source of Pope's information.

BACKGROUND:

This matter involves allegations originally obtained from Don Connors of the McCarran Committee to the effect that Greenspun about a year and a half ago approached Seth Pope, an Administrative Assistant to Jess Larson, and offered to Pope \$25,000 if an indictment then pending against Greenspun on the West Coast could be quashed. The original allegation was furnished to the Criminal Division on July 29, 1952.

Pope on interview by Agents of the Washington Field Office admitted being approached by Greenspun who he said offered to pay \$25,000 to him or anyone named by him if an indictment outstanding against Greenspun could be quashed. Pope denied taking any action in reference to Greenspun's offer. Pope stated that he did not report this matter to his superior officers or to the Department of Justice for the reason there was too much scandal going on at the time. Pope's revelations and his comment as to the reason for his failure to report Greenspun's offer are contained in the report of SA Joseph A. Genau dated August 8, 1952, at Washington, D. C., a copy of which was forwarded to Assistant Attorney General Murray by our memorandum of August 13, 1952.

It may be noted that Greenspun was the subject of two Neutrality Act investigations of the Bureau, in one of which captioned [redacted] Was., Neutrality Act, Conspiracy" he entered a plea of guilty to a conspiracy count on July 10, 1950, in the U. S. District Court, Los Angeles, and on July 17, 1950, he was fined the sum of \$10,000. In the second case captioned "Foundry Associates, Inc., et al., Neutrality Act, Conspiracy," he was acquitted on February 6, 1950, in the U. S. District Court at Los Angeles after a jury trial.

Attachment

JCS: jh

58-2557

58-3072-71932

EX-18 13

SEP 24 1952

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 58-2557

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the attention of the Attorney General be directed to the August 8, 1952, report of SA Genau in which the questions propounded by [redacted] are answered and that the Attorney General be requested to advise what further inquiry, if any, is desired in this matter. If you concur, there is attached for your approval a memorandum to the Attorney General and Mr. Murray.

b6
b7C

7-23-52

V J

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

0-9a

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

9/19/52

URGENT

Transmit the following message to: SAC, SAN FRANCISCO

HERMAN GREENSPUN, PERJURY, REURTEL SEPTEMBER FOURTH LAST.
SUTEL WHETHER PRINTED RECORD OBTAINED. IF SO FORWARD
IMMEDIATELY TO BUREAU.

HOOVER

AJM:ENCM

E. M. M.

4-1

RECEIVED READING ROOM
F. B. I.
SEP 19 1 18 PM '52

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

COPIES DESTROYED

20 DEC 3 1964 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

RECORDED - 7

62-97007-79

EX-32

SEP 22 1952

R. L. Ryan

VIA

1-50P M

Per

[Handwritten initials]

The Attorney General

September 25, 1952

Director, FBI

HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, Wa.
Hank Greenspun
BRIBERY

94-1

On September 16, 1952, at the Advisory Staff meeting of the Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General Charles B. Murray requested to be informed the reason for the delay of Mr. Seth S. Pope, Administrative Assistant to Jess Larson, Defense Materials Procurement Agency, in reporting the information concerning the overture of Herman Milton Greenspun to pay \$25,000 to quash an indictment filed against him in the Southern District of California. [redacted] also requested to be informed concerning the source of Mr. Pope's information.

b6
b7c

In reference to this matter, there is attached one copy of the report of Special Agent Joseph A. Genau dated August 8, 1952, at Washington, D. C. captioned as above, a copy of which was furnished to [redacted] by our memorandum of August 13, 1952. It is to be noted that as recorded in Special Agent Genau's report Mr. Pope's source of information in this matter was Greenspun himself who personally made the offer to Mr. Pope. It is also to be noted that Pope made a comment to the effect that he did not report this matter to his superior officers or to the Department of Justice as there was too much scandal going on at the time. This appears on page four of Special Agent Genau's report. There is no indication in our files that Mr. Pope previously reported this matter to us, and it appears that he first revealed the facts to us when specifically questioned concerning this matter by Special Agent Genau on August 6, 1952.

RECEIVED - FBI
SEP 25 5 54 PM '52
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
b6
b7c

In view of the above facts, I would appreciate being informed at an early date as to what further action, if any, is desired in this matter.

Attachment

cc: 1 - Assistant Attorney General
Charles B. Murray

JCS:ceh

- Tolson
- Ladd
- Nichols
- Belmont
- Clegg
- Glavin
- Harbo
- Rosen
- Tracy
- Mohr
- Tele. Rm.
- Nease
- Jandy

RECORDED - 120
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
RECEIVED - FBI
SEP 26 1952
COMM - FBI
895



Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Egan	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

[Handwritten initials/signature over routing slip]

[Handwritten initials]

FBI, SAN FRANCISCO
 DIRECTOR, FBI

9-19-52
 U R G E N T

5-05 PM

[Handwritten "4-1"]

ATTENTION ASST. DIRECTOR ROSEN

HERMAN GREENSPUN, PERJURY. REURTEL THIS DATE. PRINTED RECORD OBTAINED
 AND FORWARDED AIR MAIL TODAY.

END AND ACK PLS
 8-05 PM OK/FBI WA MIM

ABBATICCHIO
 RECORDED - 41 | 62-97007-81
 EX-18 13 SEP 29 1952
[Handwritten signature]

6-3244
 OCT 7 1952

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Egan	_____
Mr. Gurnea	_____
Mr. Hendon	_____
Mr. Pennington	_____
Mr. Quinn	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Nease	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO : Director, FBI (Attn. Asst. Director ROSEN)

DATE: 9/19/52

FROM : SAC, San Francisco (62-3989)

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;

H. M. GREENSPUN, doing business under the
 firm name and style of Las Vegas Sun - Plaintiff
 vs. PATRICK A. MC CARRAN, et al - Defendants
CIVIL ACTION No. 1002, USDC OF NEVADA
PERJURY

Remylet 8/18/52 and urtel this date.

Printed record obtained this date from Clerk, Circuit Court
 of Appeals, San Francisco, California, and being enclosed herewith. It is
 noted that the enclosure consists of two copies of the printed transcript,
 each copy consisting of two volumes.

JAD:ER

Enc.

AIR MAIL

cc Salt Lake City

RECORDED-39

162-97007-82

SEP 25 1952

G.I.R. 3

17

1 OCT 3 1952

SAC, Salt Lake City (74-36)

September 8, 1952

Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN,
dba LAS VEGAS SUN, PLAINTIFF,
Vs. PATRICK A. MC CARRAN, ET AL,
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
PERJURY

Reurmemo August 14, 1952.

From a review of the information set forth in referenced memorandum and all the attendant facts, it is apparent that no misunderstanding would have arisen in instant matter had the teletype from your office of July 30, 1952, been all-inclusive and mentioned the minute orders in question.

In order to prevent a recurrence of this situation you are instructed to impress upon the investigative personnel of your office the absolute necessity for completeness and accuracy in the preparation of all correspondence. You are personally instructed to exercise every precaution to insure that information incorporated in correspondence emanating from your office in the future is complete, accurate and not misleading as was the case in the July 30, 1952, teletype transmitted by your office to the Bureau in captioned matter.

AJM:bjl:ple

CC: Salt Lake City Office File (SOG)

62-97007-83

RECORDED

COMM - FBI
SEP - 8 1952
MAILED 25

SEP 8 2 30 PM '52
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI
RECEIVED MAIL ROOM

- Folsom _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Doan _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

K

NOV 01 1952

ORIGINAL COPY FILED IN

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI Attention: MR. ROSEN

DATE: 8/14/52

FROM : SAC, Salt Lake City (74-36)

SUBJECT: UNSUBS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, dba LAS VEGAS SUN, Plaintiff, vs. PATRICK A. McCARRAN, et al, Defendants, Civil Suit No. 1002, U. S. District Court, District of Nevada PERJURY

(4)
for 4-1
for 6-1
for 7-1
[Signature]

Rebutel 8/13/52 requesting explanations concerning the handling of the Federal District Court Minutes which were transmitted by letter to the Bureau on 7/22/52.

It will be recalled that on 7/15/52 the Bureau by telephone instructed that this office, among other things, obtain a complete copy of the record of this case for the use of the Attorney General. Immediate efforts were made to comply with Bureau instructions, and on the same date it was determined that the U. S. District Court at Carson City, Nevada, was in recess, the Federal Judge was out of the district, the court reporter was on leave and it was not immediately possible to obtain the material desired by the Bureau. It was learned, however, that a copy of the transcript could be obtained in Washington, D.C., and my teletype dated 7/15/52 requested that this be done.

Normally, transcripts contain only the testimony taken during court hearings and do not contain various pleadings, minutes, etc. Accordingly, efforts were continued by this office to obtain all available additional documents and data from the Federal Court at Carson City, Nevada. It was eventually possible to obtain from the Clerk of Court a copy of the Court Minutes. This office did not know definitely whether the Court Minutes were included in the transcript which the Bureau had subsequently obtained in Washington, D.C., so, for the possible protection of the Bureau, SA [redacted] who handled this matter in Nevada, immediately transmitted the Minutes to the Bureau. They were forwarded to the Bureau on the same day they were received in the Salt Lake City Office, and neither Agent [redacted] nor I knew of any particular reason to advise the Bureau by teletype, as advice concerning obtaining these Minutes should have reached the Bureau within a short time. This is the reason neither Agent [redacted] nor I notified the Bureau in other than aurllet dated 7/22/52 concerning the receipt of the Court Minutes.

b6
b7c

SA [redacted] has advised that upon receipt of the transcripts from the Bureau (these were received in the Salt Lake City Office on 7/22/52 and immediately forwarded to SA [redacted] at the Resident Agency in Reno, Nevada) he began the time-consuming task of comparing the transcript of testimony transmitted by the Bureau with the original in the Clerk of Court's Office.

DKB:FR
AIR MAIL

8/21/52
Memo Rosen & Ladd
memo Salt Lake City

RECORDED
EX - 3

AUG 13 1952
9-1062-9
OCT 13 1952
81

[Handwritten signatures and initials]
9-5-52
FBI

ORIGINAL COPY FILED IN

Director, FBI

Re: Unsubs; Herman Milton Greenspun, etc.

Perjury

7/30/52, at which time it was determined that the Bureau had everything in its possession except thirty-two miscellaneous pleadings. The Bureau was advised on 7/30/52 that the complete court record would be printed in San Francisco within two weeks and it was suggested that the printed record be obtained from the Circuit Court of Appeals at San Francisco.

Agent [] states that in furnishing advice to the Bureau concerning the comparison of the transcript with the complete court record, he considered the fact that the minutes of the court had been forwarded to the Bureau eight days previously and were most certainly in the possession of the Bureau at the time he prepared his teletype dated 7/30/52. Accordingly, he did not feel it was necessary to point out that the transcript in the possession of the Bureau did not include the Court Minutes, since both the transcript and the Minutes were in the possession of the Bureau.

b6
b7c

Special Agent [] has been most diligent in his handling of this matter. He has conducted his examination of the court records under extreme difficulty, as the Bureau is aware, and has had to use considerable initiative in persuading the Clerk of Court to make these records available. He did not realize, nor did I, that there could possibly have been any delay in the receipt of the Court Minutes by the Bureau, and did not consider it necessary to advise the Bureau both by teletype and letter concerning the existence of the Minutes.

Accordingly, no administrative action is recommended.

Office Memorandum

TO : Mr. Glavin

DATE: September 5, 1952

FROM : H. L. Edwards

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS; H. M. GREENSPUN,
ET AL, PERJURY

Tolson	_____
Ladd	_____
Nichols	_____
Belmont	_____
Clegg	_____
Glavin	_____
Harbo	_____
Rosen	_____
Tracy	_____
Mohr	_____
Tele. Rm.	_____
Nease	_____
Gandy	_____

In response to a request from the Bureau, the Salt Lake City Office has furnished under the date of 8-14-52 an explanation relative to the failure of that office to properly inform the Bureau of the existence of pertinent court records in the captioned case.

DETAILS

This case involves an allegation that perjury was committed during the course of hearings in a civil action involving H. M. Greenspun and Senator McCarran of Nevada. In connection with this investigation, the Salt Lake City Office was telephonically instructed on 7-15-52 to obtain a copy of the complete court record in this case from the U. S. District Court at Carson City, Nevada. However, that office advised on the same date that the transcript in this case was very lengthy, there were no extra copies available, and the court reporter was on vacation. Thereafter a transcript of the testimony and arguments in the civil action involved was obtained by the Bureau from Senator McCarran and a copy of this transcript forwarded to the Salt Lake City Office on 7-22-52 with instructions that this transcript be compared against the official court record to determine whether it represented the entire court record.

By teletype dated 7-30-52, the Salt Lake City Office advised that the transcript furnished by the Bureau had been compared with the court record and it was determined that this transcript was a complete record of the court proceedings with the exception of 32 miscellaneous pleadings. However, the Salt Lake City Office had previously forwarded to the Bureau under the date of 7-22-52 copies of certain minute orders issued by the court in the civil action. The letter forwarding these minute orders was sent registered by regular mail and was not received in the Bureau until 7-29-52 and had not reached the Investigative Division at the time the subsequent Salt Lake City teletype on 7-30-52 was received there. No mention was made in the teletype of 7-30-52 concerning the

CC: Salt Lake City Office File (SOG)

FDH: srm

RECORDED - 93

62-97007-84

OCT 13 1952

81

12 SEP 11 1952

THREE RECORDS SECTION
ORIGINAL COPY FILED IN 62-97007-117

385

SEP 21 1952

EX. 3

[Redacted area]

minute orders and the existence of these orders was accordingly not known to the Investigative Division until the Salt Lake City letter of 7-22-52 was received in that division subsequent to receipt of the teletype of 7-30-52. The teletype gave the impression that the transcript forwarded by the Bureau represented the complete court record (with the exception of 32 miscellaneous pleadings) when in fact this was not true inasmuch as the minute orders were also a part of the record.

EXPLANATION OF SALT LAKE CITY OFFICE

SAC D. K. Brown has advised that this matter was handled in the Salt Lake City Office by SA [redacted]. This agent stated that at the time he prepared the teletype in question on 7-30-52, he assumed that the minute orders, which he had forwarded to the Bureau by letter on 7-22-52, were already in possession of the Bureau and he did not deem it necessary to make mention of them in the teletype.

b6
b7c

RECOMMENDATION OF SAC

SAC Brown recommended no administrative action be taken in this matter.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

Mr. Malley, acting for Mr. Rosen, recommended that no administrative action be taken against SA [redacted] in view of the fact there appears to be some merit to the explanation tendered by the Salt Lake City Office concerning this matter. It was recommended, however, that a memorandum be forwarded to the personal attention of the SAC at Salt Lake City instructing him that in the future he should exercise every precaution to insure that information contained in correspondence emanating from the Salt Lake City Office be all inclusive and not misleading.

RECOMMENDATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION

The Administrative Division concurs in the recommendation of the Investigative Division.

(Over)

Should this recommendation be approved, there is attached a letter to the personal attention of the SAC at Salt Lake City instructing him that every precaution should be exercised in the future to insure that correspondence prepared in his office in the future should be complete and accurate so as not to be misleading as was the case in this instance.

A PERMANENT BRIEF OF THE PERSONNEL FILE OF SA IS ATTACHED.

b6
b7c

en 2, 200
9/18



JPC
7/24

The Attorney General

October 9, 1952

Director, FBI

HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, wa.
Hank Greenspun
BRIBERY
FBI file ~~51-16-282~~

file 4-1

Reference is made to your memorandum dated September 23, 1952, (your file 51-16-282) and to our memorandum dated October 1, 1952.

Attached is a memorandum captioned "Seth Solomon Pope" dated October 9, 1952, reflecting the results of a review of the files of the General Services Administration relative to Mr. Pope, who is presently employed as an Administrative Assistant at the Defense Materials Procurement Agency.

Unless advice is received to the contrary, no further action is contemplated by the Bureau in connection with this matter.

A copy of the October 9, 1952, memorandum relative to Seth Solomon Pope is also being furnished to Assistant Attorney General Charles B. Murray.

Enclosure

cc: 2 - Assistant Attorney General Charles B. Murray
Criminal Division
(w/Enclosure)

cc: Bufile 62-97007-100
JCS:jd:dlb

RECEIVED
FBI
OCT 9 4 14 PM '52

- Mr. Tolson
- Mr. Ladd
- Mr. Nichols
- Mr. Belmont
- Mr. Clegg
- Mr. Glavin
- Mr. Harbo
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Tracy
- Mr. Egan
- Mr. Gurnea
- Mr. Hendon
- Mr. Pennington
- Mr. Quinn
- Mr. Nease
- Miss Gandy

COPIES DESTROYED
20 5 DEC 3 1964

RECORDED - 75

62-97007-85

MAILED 5
OCT 10 1952
COMM. FBI

68 OCT 21 1952

All in index

Handwritten signatures and initials

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (58-2557)

FROM : SAC, WFO (58-402)

SUBJECT: HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, wa.
Hank Greenspun
BRIBERY

DATE: October 6, 1952

Re letter to Director from Attorney General dated October 1, 1952.

Enclosed herewith in Blind Memorandum form is the results of a review of the personnel files of the General Services Administration relative to SETH SOLOMON POPE, as requested in referenced letter. C

TFH:PCN

Enclosures - 5

m j

RS - WFO 10/10/52
ice to AG
ice all Murray
10/9 JES

RECEIVED
OCT 10 5 30 PM '52

G.I.R. 3

3 ENCL
10

memo to AG 10/9 JES

INDEXED - 105
EX-105

100-97007 - 86

12 OCT 14 1952

[Signature]

[Signature]

71 OCT 22 1952

386

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

October 9, 1952

RE: SETH SOLOMON POPE

The files of the General Services Administration, Eighteenth and F Streets, Northwest, reflect the following information concerning SETH SOLOMON POPE, who is presently employed as an Administrative Assistant, Defense Materials Procurement Agency:

POPE was born June 3, 1892, at Battleboro, North Carolina, and attended Enfield School in North Carolina from 1898 to 1910 and the Fork Union Military Academy, Fork Union, Virginia, from 1910 to 1912.

The files reflect the following employments:

June, 1916, to June 1919 -- Campbell Soup Company, Camden, New Jersey; Salesman

June, 1919, to September, 1942 -- Used Tire and Sales Company, Rocky Mount, North Carolina; Business for self

February 18, 1942, to June 1, 1942 -- War Department, Office of the Quartermaster General, Washington, D. C.

September 8, 1942, to December 1, 1943, United States Navy, M.O.B., Norfolk, Virginia; Rubber and equipment conservator

December, 1943, to August, 1945 -- Domestic Transportation Office, United States Navy, M.O.B., Norfolk, Virginia; Tire Inspector

April 8, 1946, to April 22, 1947 -- War Assets Administration, Consumer Goods Division; Inspector, Richmond, Virginia, and Washington, D. C.

April 22, 1947, to August 12, 1947 -- War Assets Administration; Sales Officer, Honolulu, Hawaii

COPIES DESTROYED
20 JUL 3 1964

JP 2557

August 13, 1947, to July 31, 1948 -- Special Assistant,
Office of Disposal for United States Territories and
Possessions, War Assets Administration, Washington, D.C.

August 1, 1948, to June 30, 1949 -- Special Assistant
to the Administrator, Office of the Administrator,
General Services Administration; Terminated due to
reduction in force

October 3, 1949, to October 2, 1950 -- Consultant,
Office of the Administrator, General Services Administration

October 12, 1950, to October 27, 1951 -- Administrative
Assistant, Office of the Administrator, General Services
Administration, Washington, D. C.

October 28, 1951, to present -- Administrative Assistant,
Defense Materials Procurement Agency

The personnel files reflect the following addresses:

1945 -- 327 South Grace Street
Rocky Mount, North Carolina

August, 1946, to April, 1947 -- 906 South Washington
Alexandria, Virginia

April, 1947, to August, 1947 -- Fort De Russey
Honolulu, Hawaii

August, 1947, to October, 1947 -- 906 South Washington
Alexandria, Virginia

October 3, 1949, to present -- 403 Belle View Boulevard
Apartment A-2
Alexandria, Virginia

The files also reflect that POPE is married and his wife is
LILLIAN ELAINE (CALVERT) POPE, who was born July 17, 1897, at Baltimore,
Maryland.

It was noted in two Applications for Government Employment
which were dated July 4, 1945, POPE listed the following individuals
among his references:

Honorable J. W. BAILEY
United States Senator

Honorable JAMES A. FARLEY
Businessman
New York City

J. W. RUSSELL
Doyle and Russell Contracting Company
Richmond, Virginia

J. K. BATES
Doyle and Russell Contracting Company
Richmond, Virginia

The files also contain Efficiency Ratings dated April 11, 1951,
and March 31, 1952, which reflect that POPE was rated Satisfactory by
JESS LARSON, Administrator, General Services Administration.

The Attorney General,

October 1, 1952

Director, FBI

HERMAN WILTON GREENSPUN, wa
Bank Greenspun
Bribery
FBI File ~~58-2357~~

RECORDED - 65

EX-83

87

Reference is made to your memorandum dated September 23, 1952, (your file 51-10-282) in which you requested that further investigation be made into the background of Seth S. Pope and the circumstances of his making the disclosure concerning the offer to him by Herman Wilton Greenspun to pay \$25,000 to have an indictment pending against Greenspun quashed.

It is to be noted that the facts relative to Mr. Pope's disclosure of Greenspun's overture to him were furnished to you in our memorandum in this matter dated September 23, 1952.

By way of background data concerning Seth S. Pope, I would advise that a review of our files reveals that one Seth Solomon Pope, formerly employed by the War Assets Administration, was the subject of three inquiries involving allegations of bribery, fraud and theft of Government property.

In the case captioned "Seth Solomon Pope, Theft of Government Property," allegations were received from the proprietor of a gasoline filling station that Seth Solomon Pope, an inspector of material and equipment at the Naval Operating Base, Norfolk, Virginia, attempted in 1945 to sell him tires marked "Property of the U. S. Navy." Seth Solomon Pope, when interviewed regarding the allegation in May of 1945, denied offering any Navy property for sale to the gasoline station proprietor. He stated, however, that he recalled leaving the Navy tires at the gasoline station in question in December of 1944 or January of 1945 as the repair station to which he was taking them was closed and he did not desire to scrap the tires in his personally owned car. Pope related that he picked up the tires in question on the following day. In regard to this matter, there are attached copies of

RECEIVED FBI ROOM OCT 2 12 45 PM '52

RECEIVED FBI ROOM OCT 2 11 02 AM '52

2 cc - Washington Field (58-402)
(with enclosure)

cc: 62-97007
See note on page four.

SENT FROM D. O.
TIME 3:00 P.M.
DATE 10-2-52
BY J.P.K.

- Tolson
- Ladd
- Nichols
- Belmont
- Clegg
- Glavin
- Harbo
- Rosen
- Tracy
- Laughlin
- Mohr
- Tele. Rm.
- Holloman
- Gandy

60-007281952

COPIES DESTROYED
20 5 DEC 3 1964

RECORDED COPY FILED

the reports of Special Agent [redacted] dated April 20, 1945, and July 5, 1945, at Norfolk, Virginia; of Special Agent [redacted] dated June 19, 1945, at Charlotte, North Carolina; and of Assistant Special Agent in Charge John F. Trest dated May 25, 1945, at Norfolk, Virginia. Copies of the above-mentioned reports are also being furnished to Mr. Charles S. Murray, Assistant Attorney General.

b6
b7c

It appears further that this individual was the subject of a case captioned "Seth Solomon Pope, Fraud Against the Government, Surplus Property Act," (your file 146-51-2-1172) in which matter all reports were previously dispatched to the Records Administration Branch. In this matter a complaint was received from one Herman Weisz, Norfolk businessman, to the effect that Pope, in the summer of 1946, obtained \$100 from him with which he was to obtain an outboard motor from war surplus material. [redacted] alleged that the outboard motor was not forthcoming and that Pope failed to return his \$100. Pope, on interview in this matter in May of 1947 at which time he was employed at Honolulu as a sales officer for the War Assets Administration, admitted receiving the money from [redacted] prior to his employment with the War Assets Administration in Honolulu. He explained that he intended to obtain the outboard motor from a veteran who was to purchase the machine as surplus from the U. S. Maritime Commission. It appears that Pope returned the \$100 to Mr. Weisz in February of 1947.

b6
b7c

Seth Solomon Pope also appeared as the subject in a case captioned "Colonel Clarence S. Raymond, et al, Bribery, Fraud Against the Government, Surplus Property Act," (your file 146-51-2-2129) in which matter copies of all reports were previously furnished to the Records Administration Branch. In the Colonel Raymond matter it was alleged that Pope accepted \$50 from one [redacted] in late 1946 for the purpose of assisting [redacted] in having some surplus property withdrawn from sale. Seth Solomon Pope, who was then employed as a material inspector by the War Assets Administration at

b6
b7c

the Naval Depot, Richmond, Virginia, upon interview admitted receiving \$50 from [redacted] for the purpose of having some meat chopping blocks withdrawn from sale. Pope stated he was not furnished instructions as to what was to be done with the money and he admitted realizing that he had no right as a War Assets Administration inspector to accept the money from [redacted] and had no authority to act as an agent for any prospective purchaser. Pope related that he returned the \$50 to [redacted] in January of 1947. It will be noted that in this matter Mr. Henry H. Ruttinger, War Assets Administration, Field Director, Richmond Depot, advised that Mr. Pope approached him in December of 1946 and requested him to withdraw certain meat blocks from a veterans priority sale and to withhold sale of the blocks until same could be purchased by non-priority buyers. Mr. Ruttinger related that Pope offered him \$50 as a deposit in connection with the meat blocks purchase which he said was to be made by a friend of Colonel Raymond McGiffert, one of the subjects in the Colonel Raymond matter. It will be noted that Mr. Ruttinger's remarks are recorded in the report of Special Agent James V. Sullivan dated March 9, 1948, at Baltimore, Maryland, in the Colonel Raymond case. It should be noted that prosecution was not entertained in the three above-mentioned cases.

b6
b7c

The Seth Solomon Pope referred to herein is described as having been born on June 3, 1891 or 1892 or 1893 at Battleboro, North Carolina. He is said to be married and the father of four children and to have previously resided at 327 South Grace Street, Rocky Mount, North Carolina, and at 906 South Washington Street, Alexandria, Virginia. His former employments were listed as with the McLean Contracting Company and the Doyle and Russell Company. While it is not known if the Seth Solomon Pope referred to herein is identical with Seth S. Pope, presently employed by the Defense Material Procurement Agency, our Washington Field Office has been instructed to review Seth S. Pope's personnel file to develop further background data concerning him and to ascertain if he is identical with Seth Solomon Pope.

Enclosure

2 cc - Assistant Attorney General Charles E. Murray
Criminal Division
(with enclosure)

SAC - WFO

Review Seth S. Pope's personnel file immediately to develop further background data concerning him and to ascertain if he is identical with Seth Solomon Pope and surep by October 6, 1952.

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

File review Seth S. Pope 108-1343 notes that a Seth S. Pope in 1945 was treasurer of the Hyde Park Savings Bank, Hyde Park, Massachusetts. No other identifying data available. 32-3921 and 62-80702 request for identification record. No information of significance.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Boardman	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Ladd	
Mr. Clegg	
Mr. Glavin	
Mr. Harbo	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tracy	
Mr. Egan	
Mr. Gurnea	
Mr. Hendon	
Mr. Pennington	
Mr. Quinn	
Mr. Nease	
Miss Gandy	

JPM

TO : Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

DATE: September 23, 1952

FROM : The Attorney General

SUBJECT: Herman Milton Greenspun, alias Hank Greenspun;
Matter of Bribery.

51-16-282

(y)

4-1

This confirms my verbal request to you of September 16, 1952.

It is desired that a further investigation be made into the background of Seth S. Pope and the circumstances of his making the disclosure concerning the suggestion made to him by Greenspun that he (Greenspun) would give "25 Grand" to anyone suggested or named by Pope to have an indictment against him (Greenspun) in California quashed. It is important to determine the reason for his not disclosing this matter for more than a year after the event.

see index

RECORDED - CONFIDENTIAL

RECORDED - 65

62-97007-87
OCT 8 1952

Let AR
13-1-52
EX-83
205

[Handwritten signature]

EXPEDITE PROCESSING.
SEP 26 1952

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Lister	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO : ASST. DIRECTOR L. B. NICHOLS

DATE: 11/3/52

FROM : SAC, SALT LAKE CITY (74-36)

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS; HERMAN MILTON
 GREENSPUN, Plaintiff, vs. PATRICK A.
 McCARRAN, et al, Defendants.
 Civil Case No. 1002, USDC,
 Carson City, Nevada
 PERJURY

g

Handwritten signatures and initials:
 J. J. [unclear]
 [unclear]
 [unclear]
 [unclear]

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL *Mc [unclear]*

On 10/28/52, [redacted] United States District Court Clerk's Office, Carson City, Nevada, advised that to date no information has been received from the Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, indicating any action has been taken with reference to the appeal in the civil matter.

b6
b7c

FEH:htg

AMSD

G.I.R. - 8

RECORDED-1


62-97007-88

EX-102

NOV 7 1952

Handwritten: 29
 29
 NOV 17 1952

Handwritten signatures:
 [unclear]
 [unclear]



Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Harbo _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Laughlin _____
 Mohr _____
 Tele. Rm. _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

[Handwritten initials]

Nov. 3, 1952

V.

3/4/52

[Handwritten notes: "see 2303", "Ladd", "P12, 13"]

MR. TOLSON:

With reference to the Director's inquiry as to whether the column "Where I Stand" by Hank Greenspun appeared and how it got to the Bureau, you are advised this was sent to me by [redacted] of the McCarran Committee.

[Handwritten signature: "Laughlin"]
[Handwritten initials: "Kefauver"]

[redacted] told me it did appear in the Las Vegas Sun on October 25 on Page 1.

L. B. NICHOLS

LBN:FML

[Large handwritten note: "As is a... libelous of nature."]

F
 2 ENCL
 4

RECORDED - 47
 INDEXED - 47

62-97007-89
 NOV 7 1952

DEC 15 1952

EX - 108

SEARCHED
 SERIALIZED
 FILED
 NOV 10 1952

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 62-96392

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

TO
OFFICIAL INDICATED BELOW BY CHECK MARK

- Mr. Tolson _____ ()
- Mr. Ladd _____ ()
- Mr. Nichols _____ ()
- Mr. Belmont _____ ()
- Mr. Clegg _____ ()
- Mr. Glavin _____ ()
- Mr. Harbo _____ ()
- Mr. Rosen _____ ()
- Mr. Tracy _____ ()
- Mr. Laughlin _____ ()
- Mr. Mohr _____ ()
- Mr. Holloman _____ ()
- Miss Gandy _____ ()

[Handwritten checkmarks and scribbles over the list of names]

How was this received at Bureau? Did it really appear in the Las Vegas paper?

- See Me _____ ()
- Note and Return _____ ()
- For Your Recommendation _____ ()
- What are the facts? _____ ()
- Remarks:

*RECEIVED
NOV 11 11 00 AM '53*

*I
ENCLOSURE
62-97007-87*

COPY

WHERE I STAND

by Hank Greenspun

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

People have a right to know the type of persons representing them as their public officials. The American people should know who sit in the halls of the United States Senate and pass laws under which the country is governed.

Decent government can only be obtained from decent legislators. For a government to be moral, the men who make the laws should be moral.

There is a U. S. senator from the state of Wisconsin who is now going around the country speaking for the Republican party and its candidates for office. This person spoke here a week ago for the election of George (Molly) Malone for the senate from the state of Nevada. Malone is a colleague of McCarthy and should be fully aware of the man's record.

In his speech at War Memorial hall here, McCarthy leveled an attack upon me which caused many people to cheer and a few others to boo. For those who cheered the senator from Wisconsin, I would like them to know the type of person they were applauding.

Joe McCarthy made some remarks about me which were heard by everyone at the meeting plus thousands of others around the state. There is a tape recording of his charge, accusing me of being "an admitted ex-communist." Since then, McCarthy has denied making such a statement to the Associated Press when asked if he intended to collect the reward offered for proof of this charge. Instead McCarthy went into another long harangue of villification and untruths.

McCarthy's denial of his charge against me stamps him as a person not worthy of belief. Two nights ago, he was refused time on a television station in Seattle because he refused to delete portions of a speech in which he charged certain columnists with being communists and when asked for proof by the station's attorneys, he admitted he had none.

This stamps McCarthy as being irresponsible, wild and reckless in his public utterances.

In the spring of 1950 when McCarthy started his attacks on the State Department, he threw in for good measure a reckless charge that the department was honeycombed with homosexuals.

From the public record of McCarthy, the charge appears to be more true of his own associations than of the department. In October of

NOV 2 1952

62-97007-87 1-1/2 P. atty
11-19-53
ENCLOSURE (1)
See file 73-1203

From LAS VEGAS SUN, October 25, 1952.

WHERE I STAND (continued)

COPY

1951, one, Charles Davis returned to Washington after spending 11 months in a Swiss jail on conviction of political espionage for Senator McCarthy. His mission was to prove that our minister to Switzerland was either a Red or a sexual pervert. Davis proved neither charge but ended up in jail.

Who is this man Davis -- chosen by McCarthy to do his undercover work? Charles Davis was dishonorably discharged from the United States Navy for confessed homosexuality. He admits he belonged to communist party organizations in southern California right after World War II. Record show Davis is of extremely low moral character and would do anything for money.

In the summer of 1950, one of Joe McCarthy's administrative assistants was Ed Babcock, former University of Wisconsin prominent young Republican. Babcock was picked up by Washington police in 1950 while working for McCarthy and charged with the offense of solicitation for a lewd and immoral purpose, which is the District of Columbia charge for soliciting another man to engage in unnatural sexual acts. Babcock pleaded guilty and while the press tried to hush up the story, he paid a heavy fine and soon dropped into oblivion.

Don Surine, McCarthy's present administrative assistant also has a singular crummy record. Surine was a former FBI agent who used unusual methods of investigation while working for the bureau. He kept an acknowledged prostitute in a Baltimore Hotel, staying with her, although he is a married man with three children. When the FBI faced him with this charge, he lamely explained he was using her as a tipster on a white slavery ring. He was fired from the department and hired by Sen. Joe McCarthy. While testifying before the Butler-Tydings committee, Surine said he resigned from the FBI but when confronted with a letter from J. Edgar Hoover, he admitted he perjured himself and had in fact been fired.

Joe McCarthy is a bachelor of 43 years. He seldom dates girls and if he does, he laughingly describes it as window dressing.

Sen. McCarthy is quick to yell communist at anyone who disagrees with him but the record will show that he was elected by the vote of communists in Milwaukee, ^{Wisc.} who were bitterly opposed to his opponent Bob LaFollette. While LaFollette was preaching the gospel of complete distrust of communism, Joe McCarthy was appealing to the Reds and pinks in Wisconsin with speeches in which he said: "Stalin's proposal for world disarmament is a great thing and he must be given credit for being sincere about it."

McCarthy is quick to impugn the legal ethics of others. The bar commissioners of the state of Wisconsin asked the Wisconsin Supreme

From LAS VEGAS SUN, October 25, 1952.

WHERE I STAND (continued)

COPY

Court to disbar McCarthy because of his violation of the lawyers' Code of Ethics. The board perused the evidence and announced: "It is difficult to conceive of any conduct upon the part of a presiding judge (McCarthy was a judge at that time) which would bring judges and courts into greater disrepute and contempt than the conduct of McCarthy The defendant, by his conduct, chose to defy the rules of ethical conduct prescribed by the constitution, the laws of the state of Wisconsin, and the members of the profession, in order to gain a selfish personal advantage."

The Young Republicans held a state convention in Wausau, Wis., at which Sen. McCarthy was an honored guest. During the convention, McCarthy spent the night with William McMahon, formerly an official of the Milwaukee County Young Republicans, in a Wausau hotel room, at which time, McCarthy and McMahon engaged in illicit acts with each other.

WISC.

It is common talk among homosexuals in Milwaukee who rendezvous at the White Horse Inn that Sen. Joe McCarthy has often engaged in homosexual activities.

The persons in Nevada who listened to McCarthy's radio talk thought he had the queerest laugh. He has. He is.

This is the man who evoked cheers when he spoke here last Monday night. The most immoral, indecent, and unprincipled scoundrel to ever sit in the United States Senate.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd
FROM : Mr. Rosen
SUBJECT: HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN
BRIBERY

DATE: November 14, 1952

- Tolson
- Ladd
- Clegg
- Glavin
- Harbo
- Belmont
- Mohr
- Tele. Room
- Nease
- Gandy

G.I.R.-8 44-1

PURPOSE:

To inform you that the Department on November 12, 1952, advised us that no further investigation was desired herein.

BACKGROUND:

This case concerns allegations obtained from [redacted] of the McCarran Committee to the effect that Greenspun, who at the time was under indictment in California on a Neutrality Act charge, approached Seth Pope, Administrative Assistant to Jess Larson, about a year and one-half ago with an offer to pay \$25,000 to Pope or anyone named by him if the indictment then outstanding against Greenspun could be quashed. Pope upon interview admitted being approached by Greenspun as alleged but denied taking any action in reference to his offer. He said he did not report the offer to his superiors or to the Department of Justice as there was too much scandal going on at the time. Our investigation of the allegation was completed by the Washington Field report of August 8, 1952, which was forwarded to the Department on August 13, 1952. The investigation was requested under date of July 30, 1952.

b6
b7c

DEVELOPMENTS:

Assistant Attorney General Charles B. Murray by memorandum dated November 12, 1952, advised that a careful study had been made of the investigation of this matter and that it had been concluded that further investigation does not appear warranted and accordingly, the Department's file was being closed.

ACTION:

None. The above is for informational purposes.

JCS:eam
cc: Mr. Nichols

62-3012

JCS LRP

RECORDED - 56

EX - 107

NOV 20 1952

62-97007-90
[Handwritten signature]

79 NOV 28 1952

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

DATE: November 12 1952

FROM: *CBM* Charles B. Murray, Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Division

CBM:HHA:jbr

51-16-282

SUBJECT: Herman Milton Greenspun, wa.
Hank Greenspun
Bribery

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten initials: SM, 4-1]

Reference is made to your memorandum of September 25, 1952, in which you request to be informed as to what further action, if any, is desired in this matter.

Careful study has been made of all past Bureau reports in the matter, and it does not appear at this time that further investigation is warranted.

We are therefore closing our file in the matter.

EXPEDITE PROCESSING.
NOV 11 1952

*11/14
In check 11/15 per nph 1235 PM
7 it called special 11/15
memo R & L
cc on memo
11/14 [initials]*

RECORDED - 56

62-97007-91

NOV 18 1952

11-19

EX - 107

[Handwritten signatures]

COPIES DESTROYED
20 5 DEC 3 1964

79 NOV 28 1952

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM : SAC, SALT LAKE CITY (62-1615)
SUBJECT: LESTER B. BINION, was.
MISCELLANEOUS

DATE: 11/18/52

lws

8 4-1

Enclosed herewith is a copy of an editorial written by HERMAN M. GREENSPUN, aka "HANK GREENSPUN", Editor and Publisher of the Las Vegas Sun, Las Vegas, Nevada daily newspaper. It is felt that this editorial is of interest to the Bureau in view of the remarks contained therein regarding the United States Attorney General.

For the information of the Bureau, the attorneys representing BINION in the removal hearing in Las Vegas have announced that they will apply to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals at San Francisco for a writ of prohibition in an effort to prevent BINION's removal to Texas until they can perfect an appeal from the removal order issued by Federal Judge LEON YANKWICH at Las Vegas on November 14, 1952.

There is also enclosed a copy of a news article appearing in the same newspaper covering the Court proceedings which it is felt will be of interest to the Bureau in view of references to the Department.

*BENJAMIN BINION
L.B. BINION*

LWD:daj
AMSD

Enclosures (2)

RECORDED-14

INDEXED-14

62-97007-92
6 NOV 21 1952

ENCL. ATTACHED

*2 ENCL
14*

S.I.R. - 8 EX - 108

AWCD

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT SENT TO
NATIONAL ARCHIVES (JFKARCA)
DATE *8/8/94* HSCA SUBJ

70 DEC 4 1952

Benjamin Binion

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, SALT LAKE CITY (62-1615)

Enclosures (2)

AMSD

ENCLOSURE

62-97007-92

Legal Action Set Halting Binion's Removal to Texas

Defense attorneys were acting today to take immediate legal steps to block L. B. (Benny) Binion's removal to Texas.

Federal Judge Leon Yankwich here yesterday ordered that Binion must appear in Dallas federal court "at such time as the federal court judge in Texas orders his presence there."

"We are planing two immediate actions," Binion's attorneys declared last night.

Attorneys for Binion are Thomas and Joseph Foley, of Las Vegas; Warren Woods, of Washington; and Nash Adams, of Dallas.

"First, we will file a notice of appeal in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in San Francisco Monday. Then, in the same court later in the week, we will file an application for a writ of prohibition," Woods asserted, and added:

"If we can obtain a writ of prohibition, Yankwich's removal order will be voided and Binion will not have to return to Texas, unless our appeal attempt fails.

"Our application for a writ of prohibition also serves another purpose," Woods further explained. "It will test the appealability of Judge Yankwich's removal order."

LAS VEGAS SUN
LAS VEGAS NEVADA
NOVEMBER 15, 1952

62-97007-92

"I don't believe Binion will be ordered removed to Texas immediately. So we will have about 30 days to carry out our appeal plans," he explained.

Binion claimed he was indicted by a federal grand jury in Texas as a means of placing him within the jurisdiction of state authorities who have been trying to return him there for more than four years to face gambling charges.

Judge Yankwich ruled that he had no right to hold up Binion's removal under a 1946 ruling of the U. S. Supreme Court which held that where an indictment is issued and the defendant is properly identified he must be returned to the jurisdiction of the courts where the indictment was issued.

"As much as we feel about justice and compassion for the persecuted as claimed by the defense, all of us from lawmen to judge feel that the integrity of the courts must be kept safe," Judge Yankwich said.

His statement was in response to defense claims that Texas authorities and U. S. Justice Department officials had connived to arrange Binion's indictment in order to have him returned to the state.

After ordering Binion's removal to Texas to face federal income tax charges, Judge Yankwich ruled to continue his bond at \$5,000.

This was done after refusing a request by U. S. Attorney Miles Pike that Binion's bond be re-set at \$20,000 which the federal court at Dallas had demanded, following the indictment.

The Los Angeles jurist asserted:

"Since authorities here in Las Vegas reduced the bond at \$5,000, I see no reason to raise it. It is obvious," he commented, viewing the courtroom, crowded to overflowing, "that Binion has established himself in this community, is apparently well regarded, and a business man of substantial means."

The Los Angeles judge, who is recognized as one of the ablest federal judges in America, went on to declare that since Binion has his family, and owns his own home here, and a resident of long standing, there was little

justification in Pike's demand that bond be increased.

Foley subsequently objected strenuously to a warrant drawn up by federal authorities and presented by Pike to the court for authorization, which described Binion as a "fugitive from justice."

Yankwich took cognizance of Foley's objection and ordered that the phrase "fugitive from justice" be stricken.

Foley, spokesman for the legal defense battery, declared last night that if the application for a writ is denied and Binion is removed to Texas, it is their belief that Binion cannot be seized by local authorities and tried there on "gambling charges."

The attorney pointed out that in his decision, Judge Yankwich made it clear that Binion was being "loaned" to the Texas district by the Nevada federal district only for the specific purpose of federal income tax prosecution.

Yankwich, in using the term "loaned" had reference to the fact that Binion at the present time is a ward of this federal district, having been granted probation by Federal Judge Edward Murphy after an earlier income tax conviction, the attorney pointed out.

He added that if Binion is seized by Texas authorities in defiance of Judge Yankwich's ruling, an attempt will be made to free Binion on a writ of habeas corpus. In a long dissertation yesterday concerning the constitutionality of Federal Rule 40 under which Binion's removal was ordered, Judge Yankwich ruled:

"I am satisfied that there is not a constitutional flaw in the rule."

He went on to show that Rule 40 is merely a codification and clarification of earlier judgments concerning removal from one state to another.

In commenting on the defense contention that Binion could not be removed from the jurisdiction of his probation, Yankwich declared:

"The point is not well taken."

And he pointed out that Judge Murphy's probation order precluded any such interpretation.

WHERE I STAND

By HANK GREENSPUN

Benny Binion was ordered returned to Texas by a judge of the Federal court sitting in Las Vegas yesterday afternoon.

I have been asked many times why I champion Benny Binion. If I am always supposedly fighting for law and order, by what right do I defend gamblers with a record like Binion's.

I first became interested in Binion when three men in this community who are not as morally sound as he and who are his competitors bluntly warned that Benny will never get another gambling license. It was not within their power to issue or take away licenses; so, I felt at the time that Binion's rights as an individual were threatened by persons who through nefarious schemes had succeeded in depriving other competitors from earning a living.

I thought and will always think that a newspaper's role in the community is to guard against the deprivation of a person's rights without due process of law.

I knew that criticism would be directed at me and the principles involved in Binion's defense would be construed as ulterior motives, but a newspaper should not be deterred from its aims and purposes because of fear of adverse criticism.

Benny Binion is not an angel. Any man who tries to place wings on his shoulders is a fraud. But no man should be deprived of his civil rights or placed in jeopardy for a crime of which he is not guilty. Whether he be a gambler, merchant or even murderer.

In 1867, Congress passed a law which said that any deprivation of a man's constitutional rights is a felony. This law was ineffective and practically died until Franklin Roosevelt became president. The law was revived for the purpose of enforcing the civil rights of Negroes in the southern states. Under Francis Biddle, attorney general in the Roosevelt cabinet, a civil rights section was set up in the Attorney General's office which started prosecutions in jurisdictions where Negroes were deprived of their right to vote.

When the Attorney General of the United States and the District

LAS VEGAS SUN
LAS VEGAS NEVADA
NOVEMBER 15, 1952
62-

62-97007-92

(Continued from Page 1)

Attorney of Dallas, Texas, conspire together to deprive a man of his constitutional rights, they are in violation of the 1867 statute. The Attorney General has done something that has never been done before. This is the first time in the history of the Bureau of Internal Revenue that a person who has been indicted and plead guilty to one indictment for income tax fraud, has been re-indicted for an earlier year.

When the Internal Revenue department checked Binion's 1949 tax return, they also had his 1948 return before them. There was no factual basis upon which to present the 1948 return to the Grand Jury, so 1949 was chosen, and an indictment was returned.

Binion has paid the government hundreds of thousands of dollars in income tax. Does it stand to reason that he would cheat the government of \$12,000 when in other years he received tax refunds? Binion pleaded "no contest" to the first indictment after Rule 20 of the Supreme Court Rules were invoked which permitted him to enter a plea in the District of Nevada. The United States attorneys in Texas and Nevada consented to the entering of a plea here. But on July 20, during the Democratic convention in Chicago, Attorney General McGranery by a long distance call from Chicago to Reno ordered Miles Pike, U. S. Attorney for Nevada, and the United States attorney from the Northern District of Texas to withdraw their consents and order Binion back to Texas.

McGranery acted after he was telephoned by the Dallas district attorney who wanted Binion returned to Texas to face a state gambling charge.

Federal Judge Edward Murphy, sitting in for Judge Roger Foley at Carson City ruled that once Rule 20 was invoked, the Attorney General could not order it revoked, and Binion's charge of nolo contendere was accepted. Binion was fined \$15,000 and placed on five years probation.

Binion is a victim of the desperation of the Democratic administration to meet the issue of corruption. The newspapers carried the story of the promise made by McGranery to District Attorney Wade of Dallas that the federal government would help bring Binion back to Texas.

It is politically popular to be against Binion in Texas. Wade became district attorney on the issue and McGranery believed he could keep Texas in line for the Democrats by helping the Dallas officials get their hands on the man.

McGranery ordered the United States attorney in Texas to take steps to re-indict Binion for 1948 after Rule 20 foiled the government's efforts to return Binion on the 1949 indictment. The United States attorney said there was no case against Binion for 1948 in which decision the internal revenue agent assigned to the case concurred, but McGranery sent a special deputy attorney general to Dallas with instructions to "get Binion."

The federal Judge in Texas issued instructions to the Grand Jury sitting there, which should go down in history as the most disgraceful and vilest ever made in a court of law. The charge to the jury was read to Judge Leon Yankwich sitting in Las

Vegas yesterday on the removal proceedings by Warren Wood, one of the defense attorneys.

The Texas judge, T. Whitefield Davidson, told the Grand Jury, income tax laws, sometimes have to be used as a last resort, as they were used in the Capone case when it is impossible to send men who threatened our community to prison for other law violations. The judge further instructed the jury that "Herbert Nobles was killed and his wife before him and six or seven other murders have been committed in recent years in Dallas together with many other crimes which are still unsolved and it is therefore necessary to use the income tax violations against people like Benny Binion when other means fail."

If there had ever been a murder charge made against Benny Binion, he would have been extradited to Texas. If he was ever charged with any type crime other than gambling, Nevada would have extradited him. All the evidence which the state of Texas could find on Binion is that he conducted gambling games for which he was indicted and for which the Nevada courts have often held he could not be extradited because gambling is not a crime in the state of Nevada and is therefore not an extraditable offense.

Binion has been out of Texas since 1946, and crime and corruption continues there greater today than at any time during Binion's residence in that state. The true story of the Nobles' killing and the complicity of members of the police department of that city will some day be written. The political scheming of the chairman of the Dallas Crime Commission and the district attorney will also come to light one day which should clear the atmosphere of the smoke screen and subterfuge they have created actually to make Binion the fall guy in order to distract the attention of Dallas residents from their sordid politics.

And to this plot, the Attorney General of the United States has become a ready and willing accomplice.

I sat in Binion's office yesterday after the Judge ordered his removal to Texas. Benny showed admirable restraint while speaking to his wife on the phone. He reassured her that all would be well and continued to give the same reassurance to each of his five kids who insisted on speaking to him. But when his youngest or "the least one" as Benny calls her began to cry, Benny could take no more.

Judge Yankwich refused to permit the government to make political capital of the removal proceedings. He would not sign a warrant which stated that Binion was a fugitive from Justice and continued his bond at \$5000 after the government had asked \$20,000.

Dallas authorities are anxious to put Benny Binion behind bars on general principles. For the federal government to become a party to such a perversion of the judicial system is to create injustice. Men should not be deprived of their constitutional rights under color of law. Such tactics may be countenanced by the Iron Curtain countries, but if permitted in America, law and organized government will be loser.

BULKY EXHIBIT - INVENTORY OF PROPERTY ACQUIRED EVIDENCE

Bufile: ⁹⁷⁰⁰⁷ 62-47007 Salt Lake City Field Division

2/10/53 Date

Title and Character of Case: UNSUB, H. M. GREENSPUN, dba, Las Vegas Sun,
Plaintiff vs. PATRICK A. McCARRAN, etal, defendants, Civil
Action No. 1002-USDC, Nevada;
PERJURY

Date Property Acquired: 7/23/52

Source From Which Property Acquired: Bureau

Location of Property or Bulky Exhibit: Bulky Exhibit Cabinet

Reason for Retention of Property and Efforts Made to Dispose of Same: Review for investigative leads, Contact Bureau re. disposition

Description of Property or Exhibit and Identity of Agent Submitting Same: SA

1. Transcript of testimony in above Civil action.
2. Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

FEB 10 10 01 AM '53

b6
b7c

62-97007
~~62-47007~~
NOT RECORDED
14 FEB 16 1953

PJC: MEN

Field File #: 74-36

FEB 20 1953
cc 66-1298

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

- Mr. Tolson _____
- Mr. Ladd _____
- Mr. Belmont _____
- Mr. Clegg _____
- Mr. Glavin _____
- Mr. Harbo 1952 _____
- Mr. Rosen _____
- Mr. Tracy _____
- Mr. Laughlin _____
- Mr. Mohr _____
- Mr. Winterrowd _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Mr. Holloman _____
- Miss Gandy _____

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : *Alb* SAC, SALT LAKE CITY (62-0)

SUBJECT: HERMAN M. (HANK) GREENSPUN,
 Publisher, Las Vegas, Nevada Morning Sun
 MISCELLANEOUS; INFORMATION CONCERNING

DATE: November 15, 1952

W. J. Pennington
Stacy
W. A. Rorer

For the Bureau's information, on 11/14/52 GREENSPUN contacted an Agent, while in USDC, Las Vegas, Nevada, and asked if the Las Vegas RA office had a file on him. He was advised that no files are maintained in the RA office. He then stated he had received information that every newspaper in the State of Nevada, except his own, has "an FBI file on me" and remarked that he wanted one also since he is more entitled to it than any of the others. GREENSPUN was advised that FBI files are confidential and that no one had an FBI file on him. He remarked he knew it was true since the file contained FBI Agents' reports and memoranda and made frequent reference to Confidential Informant T-1, etc., throughout such reports. GREENSPUN was again advised that such allegation was untrue.

EXPEDITE PROCESSING

It was impossible to delve further into the matter with GREENSPUN since the contact, which was only for about one minute, was made in the courtroom. GREENSPUN advised that he will contact the Agent later to discuss the matter.

No further action is being taken by the Salt Lake City Office. If GREENSPUN makes further contact with the Las Vegas RA office concerning this matter any additional detail he furnishes will be obtained and immediately made available to the Bureau.

LAB:bjp
 AMSD

RECORDED - 96

62-97007-93

13 DEC 3 1952

EX-102

60 DEC 9 1952

For Informational Purposes Only

The originals removed from this file and replaced with duplicate copies of the original were accessioned to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) pursuant to the JFK Records Collection Act of 1992. Provision of the JFK Act allowed for certain information to be postponed from public release until the year 2017; therefore, the pages have **NOT** necessarily been released for public review in their entirety.

Under the JFK Act, originals to all material deemed assassination records must be accessioned to NARA regardless of whether the material is open in full or released with information postponed. Therefore, any documents or pages from FBI files accessioned to NARA pursuant to the JFK Act are no longer considered the possession of the FBI. The duplicate pages have been inserted strictly for research purposes.

The copies contained herein **do not** necessarily show the most up-to-date classification.

To attain a copy of the publicly released version of any materials maintained in the JFK Collection at the NARA facility in College Park, MD, you may contact the JFK Access Staff, at 301/713-6620.

The following materials were removed from this file and are maintained in the JFK Collection at NARA:

File & Serial Number

JFK Subject Identifier
(for NARA purposes)

62-97007-92
PG 1

BENJAMIN BINION

For Informational Purposes Only

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO : ASST. DIRECTOR L. B. NICHOLS

DATE: 12/11/52

FROM : *ab* SAC, Salt Lake City (74-36)

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS; HERMAN MILTON
 GREENSPUN, Plaintiff, vs. PATRICK A. MCCARRAN, et al, Defendants
 Civil Case No. 1002, USDC,
 Carson City, Nevada
 PERJURY

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

ed Remylet 12/4/52.

On December 10, 1952, Mr. J. P. FODRIN, Deputy Clerk, United States District Court, Las Vegas, Nevada, advised that the following motions were considered by Judge ROGER T. FOLEY in U. S. District Court, Las Vegas, on this date:

- (1) Motion to Dismiss filed by [redacted] et al. Motion was argued and submitted to the Court for consideration.
- (2) Motion to separately state claims, filed by [redacted] et al. Motion was argued and submitted to the Court for consideration.
- (3) Motion to Strike filed by [redacted] et al. Motion argued and submitted to the Court for consideration.
- (4) Motion for more definite statement, filed by [redacted] et al. Motion was argued and submitted to the Court for consideration.
- (5) Motion to Dismiss, and in the alternative, to Strike; to make more definite and certain; to separately state, filed by the Desert Inn, Inc., et al. Motion argued and submitted to the Court for consideration.
- (6) Motion to Dismiss filed by GUS GREENBAUM and Flamingo Hotel. Motion argued and submitted to the Court for consideration.
- (7) Amended motion for summary judgment as to Senator McCARRAN. Continued until depositions of McCARRAN and EVA ADAMS can be taken.
- (8) Motion for order for production and inspection of documents and records under Rule 34. Continued to give counsel an opportunity to get together and come to an agreement as to records which the plaintiff will furnish. If no agreement

G.I.R.3


b6
b7c

LWD:mhe
AMSD

COPIES DESTROYED RECORDED-42

20 DEC 3 1964

68 DEC 31 1952



DEC 16 1952

62-97002-94
mt
ms
my

To: ASST. DIRECTOR L. B. NICHOLS
Re: UNSUBS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN,
Plaintiff, vs. PATRICK A. McCARRAN,
et al, Defendants, Civil Case #1002,
USDC, Carson City, Nev.
PERJURY

can be reached, the Court will make an appropriate order. Mr. FODRIN stated that this motion related to records of HANK GREENSPUN and the Las Vegas Sun concerning the financial loss suffered by GREENSPUN as the result of withdrawn advertising.

- (9) Motion to Stay Taking of Deposition, filed by McCARRAN. Continued to convenience of counsel. Mr. FODRIN advised that [redacted] representing McCARRAN, and [redacted] representing GREENSPUN, are to get together in Washington, D. C. and arrange to take depositions from Mr. McCARRAN.
- (10) Motion to vacate date of trial, now set for February 4, 1953. Motion denied.
- (11) Motion to Dismiss as to [redacted] and [redacted] The California Club, a co-partnership. Case dismissed as to these parties only as to their interest in the California Club. These parties are mentioned in the complaint in other capacities.

b6
b7c

Mr. FODRIN stated that Judge ROGER T. FOLEY had reserved his opinion and ruling on the above motions until the time of the trial, which is still set for February 4, 1953, at Las Vegas, Nevada.

This matter will be followed and the Bureau kept advised of further developments.

SAC, Salt Lake City (74-36)

December 15, 1952

Director, FBI (62-97007)

RECORDED-114

62-97007-95

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, Plaintiff, vs. PATRICK A. McCARRAN, et al., Defendants Civil Case No. 1002, USDC, Carson City, Nevada PER JURY

Reurmemo 12/4/52.

The Department has not requested any additional investigation into captioned matter.

AJM:ige

RECEIVED 3 48 PM '52
FBI
UOM

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

COMM — FBI
DEC 16 1952
MAILED 27

60 JAN 6 1953

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : ASST. DIRECTOR L. B. NICHOLS

FROM : SAC, SALT LAKE CITY (74-36)

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, Plaintiff, vs. PATRICK A. McCARRAN, et al, Defendants
Civil Case No. 1002, USDC, Carson City, Nevada
PERJURY

DATE: 12/4/52

Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Laughlin	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

On December 2, 1952 OLIVER PRATT, Deputy Clerk, United States District Court Clerk's Office, Carson City, Nevada, advised that no information has been received from the Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, relative to the appeal filed in this case. Mr. PRATT further advised that on December 10, 1952 at Las Vegas, the United States District Court will hear motions filed on the part of the various defendants to Strike, Motions For More Definite Statements, Motions To Separately State Claims, Motions For Production and Inspection of Records and a Motion For Summary Judgement on the part of McCARRAN. Mr. PRATT also advised that trial in this case has been set for February 4, 1953 at Las Vegas, Nevada.

In accordance with previous policy it is anticipated the civil procedure will be closely followed at Las Vegas, Nevada and the Bureau will be immediately advised of any developments.

The Bureau is requested to advise if any opinion has been received from the Department as to further investigation to be made.

FEH:mv
(AIR MAIL)

62-97007

RECORDED-114

62-97007-95

Lv Su
12/15/52
ejm

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI
RECEIVED-10204
DEC 13 1952

Capm

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (62-97007)

DATE: 1/13/53

all
let
FROM : SAC, SALT LAKE CITY (74-36)

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS; HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, Plaintiff, vs PATRICK A. McCARRAN, et al, Defendants
Civil Case No. 1002, USDC,
Carson City, Nevada
PERJURY

Rebulet 12/15/52.

As the Department has requested no additional investigation in captioned case, the case is being closed in this Division subject to being reopened in the event additional investigation is requested.

FEH:htg

RECORDED 20

EX - 111

62-97007-96
10 JAN 16 1953

275
78 JAN 21 1953

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson
FROM : L. B. Nichols
SUBJECT:

DATE January 19, 1953

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Nichols _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Harbo _____
- Belmont _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Nease _____
- Gandy _____

✓
de

PAT
Senator ~~McCarran~~ told me Saturday afternoon that a motion to dismiss him from the million dollar antitrust suit brought by Herman Greenspun was being argued in Las Vegas Saturday afternoon. The Senator stated that his people out there would, of course, let him know in due time. He was wondering if it would be imposing too much of a hardship to ask us to find out what happened.

I told him we would be very glad to do this. I called the Salt Lake City Office and told them to ascertain the outcome of the motions which were then being argued.

At 7:50 Saturday evening, Cornelius ^{SAC, Salt Lake City,} called and advised that the Judge had denied the motion for his summary judgment and stated he doesn't feel justified in deciding that Senator McCarran did not participate in the conspiracy. I advised Miss Adams at her home as the Senator was at dinner.

LBN:ptm

✓
W

✓

INDEXED - 20

RECORDED-20

162-97007-97

EX - 107

JAN 22 1953

63 JAN 30 1953

386

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED
1953

SJK

Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Harbo _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Laughlin _____
 Mohr _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Rm. _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

January 21, 1953

MR. TOLSON: ✓

Eva ~~Adams~~ told me they now have information that Benny Binion may be the conduit for funds from a Washington columnist to ⁰ Henry Greenspun, the Editor of the Las Vegas Star.

She also told me she understands that [redacted] of the Department was very bitter about the statement the Senator made about him to [redacted] and denies he made any presentation of any breach before the grand jury considering the [redacted] case indicating there was no case against [redacted] although he admits writing a memo. I told her I had heard about a memo, but knew nothing about the details and had never seen it.

b6
b7c

L. B. Nichols ✓

LBN:MP

RECORDED-102
INDEXED-102

62-97007-98
10 JAN 27 1953

REVIEW FOR

294
56 JAN 30 1953

FEBRUARY 13, 1953

AIRTEL

RECORDED - 44

SAC, SALT LAKE CITY

AIRMAIL

67-71119-99

UNSUBS, HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN V. PATRICK A. MCCARRAN ET AL. CIVIL
 CASE NO. ONE ZERO ZERO TWO, USDC, CARSON CITY, NEVADA. OJ.
 REURAIRTEL FEBRUARY SIX. FURNISH INFORMATION THEREIN TO U. S. A.
 IMMEDIATELY STRESSING FACT THAT IDENTITY OF INFORMANT CANNOT BE
 DIVULGED. CONDUCT NO INVESTIGATION UNLESS A REQUEST FOR SAME IS
 RECEIVED FROM U. S. A. CONCURRED IN BY FEDERAL JUDGE PRESIDING IN
 CIVIL SUIT. UPON RECEIPT OF SUCH A JOINT REQUEST, IMMEDIATE
 INVESTIGATION AUTHORIZED. ADVISE BUREAU PROMPTLY OF ALL IMPORTANT
 DEVELOPMENTS ESPECIALLY RECEIPT OF ANY SUCH REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION.

HOOVER

HBW:df *df*

G. I. R.

RECEIVED DIRECTOR
 FEB 13 6 16 PM '53
 U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

MAILED 16
 FEB 13 1953
 COMM - FBI

FRY
APR
LRP
de

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

318-1119-1168
 67 FEB 23 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Gearty	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Mr. Sizoo	_____
Miss Gandy	_____
HTG	_____

AIR TEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

BUREAU

FBI SALT LAKE CITY

2-6-53

PM

DIRECTOR FBI

UNSUBS, HERMAN MILTON GREENSPUN, PLAINTIFF, VS. PATRICK A. *W. H. H.*

MC CARRAN, ET AL, DEFENDANTS, CIVIL CASE NO. ONE ZERO ZERO

TWO, USDC, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, PERJURY.?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, ADVISES HE WAS IN USDC FIFTH INST AS

SPECTATOR. IN HALLWAY WHILE COURT IN SESSION, APPROXIMATELY

TWO FORTYFIVE PM, OBSERVED MARION HICKS, GENERAL MGR. HOTEL

THUNDERBIRD, A DEFENDANT IN CASE, TALKING WITH GLENN E. "BUD"

BODELL, PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR, LAS VEGAS, AND SAID BY

[REDACTED] TO BE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] OF GOLDEN NUGGETT AND INTERESTED IN HOTEL EL RANCHO

VEGAS, ALSO A DEFENDANT. HICKS OVERHEARD BY INFORMANT TO SAY TO BODELL, "IT IS WORTH ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS IF YOU CAN DO IT".

CONVERSATION QUOTED IS BEST INFORMANT CAN RECALL. BODELL

LEFT FEDERAL BLDG. APPROXIMATELY THREE FIFTEEN TO THREE THIRTY

PM. OBSERVED BY INFORMANT TO PROCEED TO GILDNER AND VAN BUREN

JEWELRY STORE, ONE ONE THREE SOUTH THIRD STREET, LV, ENTER

STORE AND REMAIN APPROXIMATELY TEN MINUTES. INFORMANT UNABLE

TO OBSERVE IF ANY CONTACT MADE BY BODELL IN STORE. WHEN IN-

FORMANT LEFT FEDERAL BLDG. [REDACTED]

COURT WAS

Approved: *RC*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M

Per

*Memo
Report
Ladd
Airtel
2/14
13*

RECORDED 62-97007-99

FEB 13 1953

b6
b7C
b7D

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd

DATE: February 13, 1953

FROM : Mr. Rosen

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS,
Herman Milton Greenspun v. Patrick A. McCarran, et al,
Civil Case No. 1002
United States District Court,
Carson City, Nevada;
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Nichols _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Harbo _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Room _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

G.I.R. 3

SYNOPSIS:

Confidential informant of Salt Lake City Office advised that on February 5, 1953, while in hallway at U. S. D. C., Carson City, Nevada, he overheard Marion Hicks, one of the defendants in the captioned civil case of Greenspun v. Senator Patrick A. McCarran, et al, tell

[Redacted]

Air-tel attached instructing Salt Lake City to furnish information to U. S. Attorney and to conduct no investigation unless requested so to do jointly by U. S. Attorney and Federal Judge presiding in civil suit.

b6
b7C
b7D

PURPOSE:

To advise of information received from Salt Lake City confidential informant of a possible attempt to obstruct justice by influencing a juror in the above civil case in which Senator Patrick A. McCarran is a defendant, and in which the U. S. Government is not a party in interest.

DETAILS:

A confidential informant of the Salt Lake City Office at Las Vegas, Nevada, according to an air-tel of the Salt Lake City Office dated February 6, 1953, received in this division on February 9, 1953, advised that he was in the U. S. District Court, Carson City, Nevada, on February 5, 1953, as a spectator. In the hallway while the court was in session in the captioned case of Greenspun v. McCarran at approximately 2:45 p. m., he observed

b6
b7C
b7D

[Redacted]

also a defendant in the above case.

Attachment

RECORDED - 57
INDEXED - 57
162-97007-100
FEB 18 1953

MAR 2 1953

[Handwritten signature]

Memorandum to Mr. Ladd.

[redacted] was overheard by the informant to say to [redacted]

b7D

The informant advised that the conversation [redacted]
[redacted] was not overheard by anyone else, other than himself.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Salt Lake City Office be instructed to furnish this information to the U. S. Attorney, stressing the fact that the identity of the informant cannot be divulged, and that no investigation should be conducted, unless a request for same is received from the U. S. Attorney concurred in by the Federal Judge presiding in the civil suit. Air-tel to this effect is attached, **requesting that Bureau be immediately advised if a request for investigation is received.**

RPW
L R P

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM : *WDE* Warren Olney III, Assistant Attorney General,
Criminal Division

DATE: *March 25 1953*

WO:HHA:ris

51-16-282
60-106-0

Mr. Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Ladd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Belmont	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Clegg	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Glavin	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Rosen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Tracy	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Gearty	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Mohr	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Winterrowd	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Holloman	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Sizoo	<input type="checkbox"/>
Miss Gandy	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUBJECT: Unknown Subjects;
H. M. Greenspun, doing business
under the firm name and style of
Las Vegas Sun - Plaintiff v.
Patrick A. McCarran, et al - Defendants;
Civil Action No. 1002,
U. S. District Court,
District of Nevada;
Perjury and Bribery.

CR

Reference is made to your memorandum of October 9, 1952, in the above captioned matter and all prior memoranda and related material.

This matter has been carefully studied in the Criminal Division and it has been determined that the facts and evidence do not disclose an offense violative of existing federal criminal statutes, especially the bribery and perjury statutes.

No further investigative action appears to be warranted in connection with this matter.

G. I. R. 9

SECRET

MAR 26 1953

W. J. ...

COPIES DESTROYED
20 5 DEC 3 1964

RECORDED-145

162-97007-102

38 MAR 26 1953

APR 13 1953

4-3

Assistant Attorney General
H. Brian Holland

February 27, 1953

Director, FBI

102-97007-✓
BENJAMIN L. BINION, wa., Bonnie Binion
MISCELLANEOUS - INFORMATION CONCERNING

Reference is made to the memorandum from the Criminal Division of the Department dated January 30, 1953, bearing the reference 5-46-34, CBM:EM:rs. In accordance with the request contained in that memorandum, the following information is being furnished to you with copies to the Criminal Division.

A confidential informant of the Salt Lake City Office of this Bureau has advised that

	According to the informant

b7D

This is being furnished for your information. Since there is no indication of a violation of Federal law within the investigative jurisdiction of this Bureau, no further action is contemplated.

cc: 2 - Assistant Attorney General
Warren Olney III

HBW:JMT

RECEIVED
MAR 2 9 48 AM '53
FBI
...DOM

ORIGINAL FILED IN 67-9984-100

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Laughlin _____
- Mohr _____
- Winterrowd _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holloman _____
- Gandy _____

COMM - FBI
MAR 2 1953
MAILED 30

194

DIRECTOR, FBI (62-97749)

2/19/53

SAC, SALT LAKE CITY (62-1615)

BENJAMIN L. BINION, WA.
MISC. INFORMATION CONCERNING

Jan 4-1
Jan 7-1

HERMAN MILTON GREENSPAN, Plaintiff vs.
PATRICK A. McCARRAN, ET AL, Defendants,
Civil Case #1002, USDC, Las Vegas, Nevada.
PERJURY

ATTENTION: MR. L. B. NICHOLS - PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

On 2/13/53 [redacted] advised on that date he was with [redacted] b7D

According to the informant, [redacted]

[redacted] Informant reported that [redacted]

[redacted]

On 2/13/53 the informant also reported that [redacted]

[redacted] b7D

[redacted] Informant related that [redacted]

According to informant, he is certain that [redacted]

[redacted] b7D

The above is furnished for the Bureau's information.

LDM:MMN

2 cc Bureau (Greenspan case)
1 cc SU 74-36

~~SECRET~~

62-97007-✓
NOT RECORDED
55 JAN 10 1953
INITIALS _____

ORIGINAL FILED IN 62-97749-105

See Summary

F

30394

INDEXED - 2 12-77007-103

Date: April 8, 1953
To: Commissioner
Bureau of Internal Revenue
Department of the Treasury
Washington, D. C.
From: John Edgar Hoover - Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Subject: HERMAN GREENSPUN

G. I. R. - 9

Information has been received from a confidential source of unknown reliability that one [redacted] has information regarding the maintenance of a double set of books by Herman Greenspun. Our source advised that [redacted] can be reached at the Capri Restaurant, Las Vegas, Nevada, daily between 11:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.

b6
b7c

[redacted]
for Herman Greenspun.

The foregoing is being furnished for your information.

AJM:ige.

LR
AJM by
JKP

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Gearty _____
- Mohr _____
- Winterrowd _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Holloman _____
- Sizoo _____
- Miss Gandy _____

MAILED 16
APR 9 - 1953
COMM - FBI

53 APR 28 1953

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson ✓

DATE: April 3, 1953

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT: HERMAN GREENSPUN

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Gearty _____
- Mohr _____
- Winterrowd _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Holloman _____
- Sizoo _____
- Miss Gandy _____

(y)

4-1

Adm

McGraw

Last fall we received information from Internal Revenue that Internal Revenue had an income tax investigation involving Herman Greenspun.

While talking to Eva Adams in Senator McCarran's office the other day she furnished me with the following item which had been furnished, in turn, to them by a confidential source. I told her that as a matter of courtesy we would be glad to pass this on to the Bureau of Internal Revenue, with the advice that it came to us from an informant of unknown reliability. Miss Adams expressed her appreciation for this. Accordingly, it is suggested that the following item be furnished to Internal Revenue, reflecting that [redacted] ^{McGraw} can be reached at the Capri Restaurant, 11 a.m. to 7 p.m., in Las Vegas, and has information regarding the maintenance of a double set of books by Greenspun; that [redacted] for Greenspun.

b6
b7c

Obviously, the source of Senator McCarran's office should not be furnished to Internal Revenue.

cc: Mr. Ladd

memo on file
LBN:hmc
1 ENCL
22

Ret. Commissioner
Bureau of Internal Revenue
4/8/53 LBN

RECORDED - 22

INDEXED - 22

62-99007-103

APR 23 1953

RECEIVED

CRIM DIV

[redacted] claims he has information relating to alleged keeping of a double set of books on a certain morning newspaper in Las Vegas by a certain gentleman who is as objectionable to him and to me as he is to you. This information purportedly comes through the period of time when [redacted]

We know nothing of the details of this matter, and [redacted] says he will reveal them to no one but to you. He says he knows you and that once you did him a great favor, which in this manner he hopes to repay.

b6
b7c

In the event you are interested would you like to phone [redacted] at the Capri Restaurant any time from 11 a.m. to 7 p.m., or to phone me and I shall communicate with [redacted] as to any time you may care to hear what he has to say?

62-97007-103

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 6
Page 85 ~ Referral/Direct
Page 86 ~ Referral/Direct
Page 87 ~ Referral/Direct
Page 88 ~ Referral/Direct
Page 89 ~ Referral/Direct
Page 90 ~ Referral/Direct