EXECUTIVE SECRETARIA

ᄺ

P. 01/02

(ICT-26-2009 04:23) ADMICCONTINUATION, MARKING

TELEGRE HAD BY HAM SO X MON BOUGHEY JOH MA MON THU C BOUNTH CAT LINES JIRREE HADISE 1644 TO K

MONTH OF JOHN TO DOTT, Kind to

MELYE L WATT, No th Deroins

ELE I SKITCH OF HOME

HACK WATTHE SHOWN

HACK WATTHE SHOWN HIDNEY C. PRIMER'S ICHT COMM. IN CHANGE PROJECT COMMENTS OF THE PROJECT COMMEN

ONE HUNGRED ELEVENTH CONGRESS

## Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

2138 RAYBUAN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-8218

12021 225-3951 http://www.house.gov/judlatary

October 26, 2009

REPORTED IN SECURITY MESSAGES 7000 G. Sufrannower, m. Pront. STANS WHE KAP
TROM THURKE ARETH
LOUIS DOMERT YEAR
JAN JOROMN OND
TED 10 L TINE
JANGE CHAPTEZ LINA
THEMAS ECONTEC PERSON thing expert Hursesol

The Honarable Eric H. Holder, Jr. Attorney General United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530

Dear Mr. Attorney General:

I write regarding a policy issue which has come to my attention. For many years, the Department of Justice has denied the ottorneys of Jonathan Pollard access to several classified documents, which were created for his sentencing and filed with the district court. Mr. Pallard's attorneys stress that access to these materials is necessary for the full and effective representation of their client. The courts have decided, in United States v. Pellard, 416 F.3d 48 (D.C. Cir. 2005) cert. dented, 547 U.S. 1021 (2006), that there is no judicial authority to grant access to these documents and that the decision to allow access rests with the Executive Branch. That is why I am contacting you.

I take very seriously the need to prevent the dissemination of appropriately classified information to thase wha have no legitimate need to ses it. I also believe that every citizen has the right to effective representation of counsel, no matter what the charges may be against them, including the ability to have access to information possessed by the government that was used against them. I understand that Mr. Pollard's attorneys have been granted security clearances by the Department, but have nonethalass been denied access to the documents, even under secure canditions. The fundamental principals of fairness, upon which our system of justice is based, apply at every stage of the process, jucluding appeals for elemency.

Accordingly, I suggest that any definition of "need to know" which results in the denial to counsel of documents they need in connection with legal representation is flawed, however that definition may have been established. Although this situation has came to light with regard to

The Honorable Eric H. Holder, Jr. Page Two October 26, 2009

the Pollard case, my concerns are far greater than this situation. I ask that you consider revisiting any Executive Branch policy which would continue to result in denial of access to documents in this case, or in any ather such situation in the future.

I thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

MM

Chairman

cc. The Honorable Lamar Smith